Report to Congress on Contracting Fraud



Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics

October 2011

Preparation of this Report/study cost the Department of Defense a total of approximately \$81,000 for FY 2011. Generated on 2011Oct06 1559 RefID: D-315854E

A. Background

In the Committee Print of the Committee on Appropriations' Explanatory Statement, page 72, accompanying H.R. 3326, the Department of Defense (DoD) Appropriations Act, 2010 (Public Law 111-118) requested the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the DoD Inspector General (DoDIG), to report to the congressional defense committees on contracting fraud. The report is to include an assessment of the total value of DoD contracts entered into with contractors that have been indicted for, settled charges of, been fined by any Federal department or agency for, or been convicted of fraud in connection with any contract or other transaction entered into with the Federal Government over the past 10 years. The report is also to include recommendations for penalties for contractors who are repeatedly involved in contract fraud allegations as well as actions the Department has taken to strengthen its policies and safeguards against contractor fraud.

B. The DoD Approach to Dealing With Allegations of Procurement Fraud

Pursuant to DoD Instruction 7050.05, "Coordination of Remedies for Fraud and Corruptions Related to Procurement Activities," each DoD Component is "required to monitor, from its inception, all significant investigations of fraud and corruption related to procurement activities affecting its organization." This monitoring is designed to focus DoD Components' attention on two general courses of action.

The first course of action entails a range of contractual and administrative actions that DoD Components may use to protect the Government as investigations into possible fraudulent behavior develop. These administrative and contractual actions are discretionary and should remain so. Contracting Officers and Suspension and Debarment Officials must retain the ability to engage early rather than relying upon debarments after convictions since convictions generally occur many years after the misconduct and permit too much time to pass before the Government's interest is adequately protected. For example, if the allegations appear serious, DoD Components will likely plan to acquire required goods and services from alternative sources and minimize possible lost time should the allegations be proven. Even before allegations of fraud are fully proven, DoD Components have the ability to engage with the contractor either with a suspension, debarment, or a show-cause letter, and, through these processes, work with the contractor to ensure that the Government is protected in any future dealings with the contractor.

The second course of action involves criminal and civil remedies for contractor misconduct. Holding contractors accountable civilly and criminally for fraud falls solely under the purview of the Department of Justice (DoJ) (e.g., Contract Disputes Act of 1978; Executive Order 6166, June 10, 1933). As such, DoD Components generally refer fraud matters to the DoJ to initiate cases, when appropriate, and work with the DoJ to assist in prosecuting these cases.

C. Summary of Fraud Related Criminal Convictions and Civil Judgments or Settlements

1. Criminal Convictions

The DoJ identified 54 DoD contractor companies that were criminally charged with fraudulent practices over the past 10 years. A list of these contractors is contained in Table 1A. The amount of funds the Department obligated to these companies subsequent to conviction is also identified in Table 1A, along with the total dollars obligated to these companies for the 10-year period. The contractors criminally convicted of fraudulent actions identified by the DCIOs for the period 2007 - 2009 that were not included in the DoJ database are contained in Table 1B, along with the dollars the DoD obligated subsequent to the convictions.

A criminal conviction often results in a debarment but not automatically. Suspension and debarment are not considered punishments (see Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Subpart 9.402) but are actions taken to protect the Government in future contracting actions when the contracting officer makes a separate determination with regard to present responsibility. Contractors suspended, proposed for debarment or debarred are precluded from receiving future contract awards or extensions absent agency findings of compelling reasons. In appropriate circumstances, the agency may enter into an administrative agreement with a convicted contractor in lieu of a suspension or debarment. Administrative agreements usually require the contractor to implement ethical codes of conduct, employee training, and independent oversight to improve conduct and performance and to ensure present responsibility and a good Government business partner in future actions.

While the Government needs protection from contractors found to be not responsible during the period of their suspension or debarment, it should be noted that after those periods expire, contractors return to eligibility and are, generally, considered responsible like any other contractor. This is, of course, subject to the contracting officer's separate, independent determination of responsibility under FAR Subpart 9.1, wherein the original misconduct may remain a factor. Accordingly, receipt of contracts and the associated funding will be reflected in the tables.

2. Settlements/Judgments

For the 10-year period, the DoJ identified more than 300 DoD companies that entered into settlement agreements or had civil judgments rendered against them. Table 2A identifies the dollars associated with the settlements and/or judgments, the dollars subsequently obligated to them, and the total dollars obligated to them over the 10-year period. It should be noted that the data that the DoJ provided did not differentiate between settlements and civil judgments; however, we understand that the vast majority are settlements. Further, with regard to these civil cases, it is important to note that a single settlement or judgment may appear in this table multiple times, listed under each entity that shares liability for the settlement or judgment amount. Therefore, the settlement and judgment amounts appearing in this table cannot be added to calculate the total U.S. recoveries from DoD contractors during the period. Note also that liability for the settlement or judgment amount listed for a particular entity may be shared jointly and severally with other entities. DCIO data identified additional contractors for the period 2007-2009 that were not in the DoJ database and, as explained previously, we have included that information in this report as well in Table 2B, along with the dollars the DoD obligated subsequent to the settlements/civil judgments.

There is a presumption that when judgments have been entered or civil settlements have been agreed upon, the contractors (not having exhibited other problems) have returned to eligibility and are generally considered responsible like any other contractor, subject again to the contracting officer's separate, independent determination of responsibility under FAR Subpart 9.1. Accordingly, follow-on awards of contracts and the associated funding will be reflected in the tables.

Table 3 identifies those contractors whose names appeared on the settlement/judgment list (Table 2B) who were suspended or debarred as a result of fraudulent activities.

3. Parent Company Obligations

Table 4 contains the obligations to the "parent" company for any company that was identified in the DoJ and DCIO data and which also appeared on the annual DoD top 100 contractor list.

D. Methodology

In discussions with congressional staff, the DoD and the DoDIG explained the DoD's difficulties associated with addressing fraud issues on contracts awarded by other Federal departments or agencies. As a result of those discussions, it was initially determined that the report would be limited to fraud issues associated with DoD contracts and that the information would be collected from the Defense Criminal Investigative Organizations (DCIOs). It also was agreed that the timeframe for which the data would be gathered would be 3 years.² The DoD expressed concern over the fact that, given a 10-year time frame, contractors will have merged, changed names, or dissolved, thus making it very difficult to track or correlate them to the current DoD contractor community of more than 235,000 contractors. It also was agreed that the focus would be on convictions, civil settlements, and judgments. Indictments were not to be included because indictments may be dismissed or otherwise not result in a conviction.

Finally, it was agreed that in order to make the data more manageable, the threshold for reporting convictions, civil judgments, and settlements would be limited to those contract actions that were valued at more than \$1,000,000. It should be noted, however, that data below that threshold was provided and is included in this report. These agreements were set forth in an interim draft report that was provided to the congressional defense committees on April 5, 2010. The DoD faced many challenges in developing this report and discussed those difficulties during subsequent meetings with the congressional staff. During those discussions, it was decided that the DoD would provide 10 years of data, as requested by the Explanatory Statement (ES), and that the data would be obtained through the Department of Justice (DoJ). The DoJ provided the information to the DoD to the extent that the data was available. As a result, the information

² As discussed below, the data gathering was later expanded to cover the entire 10-year period.

contained in this report on specific fraud-related actions over the 10-year period is based on information provided by the DoJ. The DoJ data was then used to obtain the 10 years of obligation data from the Federal Procurement Data System (FPDS). An informal release of information to congressional staffers included the 3 years of data (2007-2009) provided by the DCIOs versus the 10 years of DoJ data. We have included that DCIO information on criminal convictions, settlements, and judgments in this report for completeness.

In discussions with the DoJ, the DoD reports that there may be several reasons that some of the cases identified by the DCIOs were not also included on the lists provided to the DoD by the DoJ. For example, the case management system used by the U.S. Attorneys' offices does not identify defendants by their status as Government contractors. In addition, that database tends to identify cases by the "lead charge." As such, a case involving bid-rigging, for example, may not appear as a "fraud" case. In addition to the above, the difference between the data provided by the DoJ and the DCIOs may be attributed to other factors, including how the data was categorized in the DoJ databases. As an example, a case could have been categorized as a bribery matter instead of a procurement fraud issue. The DoJ ensuring that all cases involving some type of "fraud-related" matters were reported would require opening up thousands of indictments and case files – a matter not practical in terms of time and resources.

Federal contracting processes and the FPDS utilize the Dun and Bradstreet Data Universal Numbering System (DUNS) to identify contractors awarded specific contract actions and associated obligated dollars. The DoJ data did not contain any DUNS or other physical address identifiers for the named companies. Additionally, the DoJ database does not keep track of corporate lineage. Using the DoJ-provided information as a starting point, the DoD used the FPDS to search for the obligation data associated with the identified companies by the names provided. Without the DUNS identifier, it is difficult for the DoD to guarantee that all data associated with a particular company has been captured. Moreover, the possibility exists that without the DUNS identifier an entity may have been identified and erroneously included in the data collection.

Although not requested by the ES, congressional staff requested that the Department provide the obligation data for the parent company for all "offending" companies for the 10-year period as well. The Department does not have a license with Dun & Bradstreet and therefore, does not have access to the "family tree" for all the companies on the DoJ list. Furthermore, the parental tree is an annual "snapshot" in time for a given year. It does not address which components/subsidiaries are no longer parts of the parent corporations or address mergers and acquisitions that have taken place over the 10-year period. The Department's annual top 100 DoD contractor list does, however, identify the "parent company." To the extent that any of the companies identified on the DoJ list and the DCIO list were included on the annual top 100 DoD contractors list for the past 10 years, the DoD used this list to identify the obligation data for the parent company. Obviously, many of the companies on the DoJ list are small companies that do not appear on the top 100 DoD contractors list, and in those instances, only the obligations for the identified company that matched the same name were provided.

A further clarification regarding the data is necessary. As stated above, the DoJ data included only the name of the company, for example, "Beck." The FPDS does not include any information on Beck. There is information on Beck & Beck Service; Beck & Hofer

Construction; Beck Associates PC; Beck Bus Transportation Corp; Beck Development Group; LLC; Beck Electric Supply; Beck Engineering; and Beck Gebadereinigung Und Dien and Beck Packaging Corporation. In this case, since there is no way to determine which company is identified, no obligation data was included as nothing could be directly attributable to "Beck" as an entity. However, when a similar situation exists for a company like General Dynamics, where no specific entity was identified, but the name is unique enough to distinguish the vendor as one that is routinely a DoD top 100 contractor, we gathered all data on General Dynamics Corporation.

It should also be noted that in many instances, the investigative activity that led to the convictions, civil settlements or judgments was an ongoing effort spanning several years. Since the information in the FPDS reflects obligated dollars, the DoD has included only the dollars obligated subsequent to the disposition date for the fraud-related issue. If more than one fraud related incident was reported, each instance was identified; however, the obligations data reflect total dollars obligated after the first incident, along with all obligations for the 10-year period.

E. DoDIG Review

The information obtained from the DoJ, as well as the information previously collected from the Defense Criminal Investigative Organizations, was provided to the DoDIG, specifically to the Deputy Inspector General's Office of Policy and Oversight, as required by the ES. The DoDIG planned to focus only on the DCIO data provided to the Department for the period 2007-2009 and on the methodology that the DCIOs used to collect that information. Accordingly, the DCIOs were requested to provide the instructions/regulations pertaining to their collection/recording of recoveries, indictments and convictions to the DoDIG. Upon receipt of the documents, the DoDIG reviewed the following:

- Databases used by the DCIOs to record Recoveries, Indictments and Convictions (RIC);
- b. Documents required for validation of RIC;
- c. Responsibility for inputting RIC into DCIO database;
- d. DCIO levels of validation/verification of RIC;
- e. Input of RIC into DCIO database;
- f. If DCIO RIC data are periodically validated. And if so, how often; and
- g. DCIO's process for insuring that RIC is not claimed by another DCIO or duplicated.

The DoDIG findings are contained in Attachment 2.

F. Actions the Department has taken to strengthen Department policies and safeguards against contractor fraud.

As highlighted in the interim report, the Department has taken a number of actions to improve awareness and safeguards with regard to contractor fraud. Some of the major efforts are discussed below:

Panel on Contracting Integrity

Section 813 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for FY 2007 directed the Department of Defense to establish a Panel on Contracting Integrity consisting of senior leaders representing a cross section of the DoD. The Panel's purpose was twofold: (1) review progress made by the DoD to eliminate areas of vulnerability of the defense contracting system that allow fraud, waste, and abuse to occur, and (2) recommend changes in law, regulations, and policy to eliminate the areas of vulnerability.

The Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics established the Panel on Contracting Integrity by a February 16, 2007, memorandum. The Panel was established with representatives from the Military Departments, Defense agencies, and other DoD organizations. The Panel meets on a quarterly basis, and the working subcommittees that support the Panel meet as required to accomplish their activities. To date, and in accordance with the requirements of Section 813, the Panel has submitted three annual reports to Congress describing its progress.

Initially, the Panel focused on the areas of vulnerability in the defense contract systems that the U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO) identified in its report, "Contract Management: DoD Vulnerability to Contracting Fraud, Waste and Abuse" (GAO-06-838R), as well as findings from the DoDIG and recommendations from the Procurement Fraud Working Group. The Panel also reviewed the findings of the March 2005 Defense Science Board Task Force "Management Oversight in Acquisition Organizations," and the issues raised by the Commission on Army Acquisition and Program Management (the Gansler Commission).

Originally, the Panel was supported by 10 subcommittees that identified 21 initial actions for implementation in 2008. Attachment 3 contains an extract of the 2007 Report to Congress that identifies the membership of the Panel at that time and also contains a list of the subcommittees and their Chairs. Twenty of the 21 initial Panel action items were accomplished. The remaining item, a legislative proposal to clarify what constitutes a commercial item, was prepared for submission to the Office of Management and Budget.

Currently, the Panel includes 13 subcommittees, all pursuing the central theme of eliminating areas of vulnerability in the defense contracting system that allow fraud, waste, and abuse to occur. Key to the fraud topic area was the work performed by subcommittee 8, chaired by the DoDIG and supported by the military audit or investigative agencies. The subcommittee's efforts included:

- Updating the Procurement Fraud Handbook and adapting 20 contract-related scenarios from the Handbook on Fraud for Contract Auditors and Indicators of Fraud in DoD Procurement in 2008;
- Creating a Web site on procurement fraud information to increase awareness of
 procurement fraud and fraud indicators in October 2008.
 (www.dodig.mil/Inspections/APO/fraud/Index.htm) The DoDIG has recently added
 new scenarios to the Web site and plans to update the guidance based on recent
 changes to the GAO's Yellow Book of Auditing Standards;

- Creating a Defense Acquisition University (DAU) training module on Procurement Fraud Indicators, which includes information on risk mitigation – CLM 049;
- Hosting a DoD Procurement Fraud Conference at DAU in June 2009;
- Developing and airing Web video cast to increase overall awareness of procurement fraud and indicators of such fraud; and
- Drafting and publishing an article on procurement fraud indicators in the March-April 2009 issue of the "AT&L Journal."

Additionally, the Panel on Contracting Integrity Subcommittee 10 is recommending the creation of a DoD-specific program fraud-civil remedy to redress fraud in DoD procurement programs and acquisitions. This administrative remedy (i.e., non-judicial remedy) would permit DoD agencies and the Military Departments, subject to DoJ approval, to impose penalties and assessments on contractors who make false claims and statements to DoD agencies or the Military Departments.

Procurement Fraud Working Group

In January 2005, the DoD-wide Procurement Fraud Working Group was established to develop a closer working relationship among the relevant DoD activities and agencies involved in the identification, investigation, and prosecution of contractor fraud. Specifically, the Working Group provides a forum of information exchange, legislative/policy development, and continuing education with regard to current issues, future national trends, investigative strategies, appropriate remedies and enforcement problems in the procurement fraud arena. The Working Group also enhances inter-agency coordination, communication, and cooperation with the Department of Justice (DoJ), the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), and other Government agencies combating procurement fraud.

The Working Group has conducted annual training seminars since March of 2005 with the most recent seminar held in April 2011. These seminars provide an opportunity for sharing best practices among investigative and acquisition professionals, and include expert presentations and group discussions – all seeking to provide practical solutions to contract integrity problems encountered in the field. Membership and attendance includes the DoD, NASA, the DoJ, and other civilian attorneys, investigators, and auditors. The Working Group's steering group meets monthly. Steering Committee members are also members of various committees of the DoJ's Financial Fraud Enforcement Task Force and provide a liaison between the two groups to ensure the consideration of DoD interests. In the past, the Working Group has sought to gain acquisition personnel involvement in the annual conference and has the current goal of expanding this effort to obtain broader participation.

In addition to the training seminar, the Working Group has instituted webcasts through the Defense Acquisition University to provide additional continuing education to the procurement fraud enforcement community. Topics covered include the use of DODIG subpoenas. Upcoming topics include grant fraud awareness and contractor disclosures. Finally, the Working Group also redrafted DoD Instruction 7050.05, "Coordination of Remedies for Fraud and Corruptions Related to Procurement Activities," to help ensure more effective coordination of remedies in procurement fraud matters.

Coordination with Other Federal Organizations

The DoD works closely with the Financial Fraud Enforcement Task Force, under the leadership of the DoJ, to identify and stop procurement fraud, most recently in Iraq and Afghanistan. DoD representatives participate in the Task Force meetings and exchanges of information.

The DoD will continue to work with the Office of Federal Procurement Policy (OFPP) to recommend changes to laws, regulations, and policy that would serve to clarify or strengthen issues identified through the work of the Panel on Contracting Integrity.

Ethics Regulations and Policy Training

The DoD has initiated several changes to the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) and the Department of Defense FAR Supplement (DFARS) to update the acquisition regulations pertaining to ethics in contracting. The Defense Acquisition Regulations (DAR) Council, in concert with Civilian Agency Acquisition Council, proposed an amendment to the FAR to address a Contractor Code of Ethics and Business Conduct and a requirement for contractors and their subcontractors participating in contracts over a certain dollar threshold to post an Office of the Inspector General Fraud Hotline poster. The Councils published the proposed rule in February 16, 2007, under FAR Case 2006-007, to obtain public comments, and, subsequently, published a final rule on November 23, 2007, with an effective date of December 24, 2007. A separate DFARS Case 2010-D026, specifically identifying the requirement to display a DoD Inspector General Fraud Hotline Poster was published as a final rule on September 16, 2011.

In addition, the DAR Council initiated a proposed FAR revision to require contractors to establish and maintain internal controls to detect and prevent fraud in their contracts, and to notify the Office of the Inspector General and contracting officers immediately whenever they become aware of contract fraud. The FAR Council published the proposed rule under FAR Case 2007-006 on November 14, 2007, with public comments due by January 14, 2008. A final rule was published on November 12, 2008, with an effective date of December 12, 2008. DFARS Case 2011-D006 made an administrative change to the DFARS to provide the address of the DoD Office of Inspector General and the Department's centralized collection point for fraud information.

The DoD Standards of Conduct Office reviews the mandatory annual ethics training yearly to ensure that it is current and relevant. The DoD provides the "Employee's Guide to the Standards of Conduct" online.

Furthermore, the DoD added to the DFARS 209.571, Organizational Conflicts of Interest (OCI) in Major Defense Acquisition Programs (MDAPs), as required by section 207 of the Weapons Systems Acquisition Reform Act of 2009. The rule provides uniform guidance requirements for OCI and tightens existing requirements for OCI by contractors in MDAPs. The

Department is also supporting the OFPP and the FAR team that is proposing changes to the FAR under case 2011-001, "Organizational Conflicts of Interest and Contractor Access to Nonpublic Information." The FAR Case attempts to address the current needs of the acquisition community with regard to OCI. It also separately addresses the issue of unequal access to information. The goal of this rule is to highlight the importance of avoiding or mitigating OCI or potential OCI, which may among other things, lead to potential fraud, waste and abuse issues.

Finally, the DoD recently administered a values-based ethics survey to approximately 240,000 DoD personnel, civilian, and military (active and reserve), including all 125,000 acquisition corps personnel. The purpose of the survey was to determine the culture of the entire DoD from a value-based perspective versus a rules-based perspective. Assessment of the results is complete and the next step will be to implement a values-based ethics training program, based on the results and recommendations of the survey.

Reporting Fraud

DoD personnel can report fraud to any of several sites such as the DoDIG Defense Hotline, the Defense Contract Management Agency's FraudNet, the Defense Logistics Agency's Hotline Program, the Army's Criminal Investigation Command, the Navy's Criminal Investigative Service, and the Air Force's Office of Special Investigations. The offices of the Suspension and Debarment Officers provide training and methods of reporting suspected fraud.

G. Recommendations

The ES also requested the DoD include recommendations for penalties for contractors who are repeatedly involved in contract fraud allegations. The DoD has a number of existing remedies with respect to contractor wrongdoing as identified in DoD Instruction 7050.05, "Coordination of Remedies for Fraud and Corruptions Related to Procurement Activities." In addition to the criminal, civil penalties, and sanctions prescribed by Congress, the DoD and all other Federal agencies have numerous contractual and administrative remedies available, including suspension and debarment, if it is determined that it is not in the DoD's best business interests to contract with a particular company.

It is not clear, however, that these remedies are sufficient. The DoD's Panel on Contracting Integrity will review again the administrative and legal penalties already in place to deter and punish fraud when it is detected and to protect the Government from dealing with contractors that have engaged in fraudulent activities. The Panel will have the opportunity to review the findings and data contained in this report to assist in its assessment. However, our main effort is detection and prevention. At the heart of improving our ability to detect and prevent fraud is an adequately staffed and capable acquisition workforce, to include audit and contract management personnel. One of the core functions of the Panel is to ensure that we are taking actions to increase awareness and training with regard to uncovering fraudulent contracting acts. Over the past 2 years, we have significantly expanded the awareness training of our acquisition workforce to become more vigilant in identifying potential fraudulent acts. However, there is more work to be done, which is why the Secretary of Defense has steadfastly maintained that we will continue to increase the size and the capability of our acquisition workforce, especially including contracting and auditing skills, to ensure the interests of the taxpayers and our Warfighters are protected.

Beyond that, the DoD is considering making the use of the Purchase Card On-Line System (PCOLS) a mandatory tool for all DoD components. PCOLS manages the issuance and maintenance of Government purchase cards and data mining and provides program risk assessment capability. Some of the key features of PCOLS are: (1) PCOLS uses the DoD Common Access Card to positively identify users and links them to defined roles in a hierarchy to grant systems access and privileges; (2) PCOLS links to the Defense Enrollment Eligibility Reporting System (DEERS), a system operated by the Defense Manpower Data Center to identify when cardholders or billing officials leave so that purchase card accounts associated with them may be systemically cancelled; (3) All DoD purchase card transactions are data mined looking to identify fraud, waste, and abuse – those transactions receiving a "high risk" score are referred to supervisors for review; and (4) PCOLS will provide a risk assessment of a command's purchase card program based on ratio(s) of high-risk transactions to total number of transactions and the ratio of cardholders to billing officials.

We will also ensure that the workforce receives training and guidance on the use of the new Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS). FAPIIS consolidates all data that contracting officers currently use when making their responsibility determinations, thus enabling contracting officers "across the Government" to monitor the integrity and performance of entities performing federal contracts, grants, and cooperative agreements. This new database responds to the continuing need for greater insight into potential contractors' integrity and business ethics and the Department needs to ensure that the contracting community utilizes that information in its award decisions.

FAPIIS imposes a duty on both Government contractors and the Government by requiring contractors to disclose additional information to the Government and contracting officers to consider all available information when making responsibility determinations prior to obligating funds. This information will include criminal convictions, civil judgments, and administrative proceedings. In fact, it will contain much of the type of information requested by the ES. Contracting officers are required to provide terminations for default and cause to FAPIIS. Contractors may be suspended, proposed for debarment or debarred upon sufficient evidence of fraud. Suspension and debarment officials may also enter into an administrative agreement with contractors in lieu of a suspension or debarment which specifically identifies corrective actions a contractor must undertake to rectify a given situation. These administrative agreements are also placed in FAPIIS.

Additionally, we will recommend that the DoDIG direct the DCIOs to periodically check the FAPIIS database for accuracy and completeness of contractor disclosed information.

Finally, as noted above, we recognize that there is always more work to be done, to ensure the interests of the Department of Defense, the taxpayers, and our Warfighters are protected.

Attachments:

- (1) Tables: (See disc)
 - (a) Table 1A Criminal Convictions Reported by the DoJ
 - (b) Table 1B Additional Criminal Convictions Reported by the Defense Criminal Investigative Organizations
 - (c) Table 2A Judgments/Settlements as Reported by the DoJ
 - (d) Table 2B Additional Judgments/Settlements as Reported by the Defense Criminal Investigative Organizations
 - (e) Table 3 Suspension/Debarments Associated with Judgments/Settlements
 - (f) Table 4 Parent Company Obligations
- (2) DoDIG Findings
- (3) Extract of Panel on Contracting Integrity 2007 Report to Congress

Table 1A - Criminal Convictions					
DEFENDANT NAME	Disposition Date	Note 1	Obligations after Disposition	Total Obligations 2001-2010	Debarment Period
ACE AND COMPANY	2006		\$ 169,860	\$ 3,136,623	None
AEROCONTROL, LTD	2010		\$ -	\$ -	1/26/09-1/16/11
AFGHAN INTERNATIONAL TRUCKING	2010		\$ -	\$ -	None
AFGHAN TRADE TRANSPORTATION	2010		\$ -	\$ -	None
AIR VAN LINES INTERNATIONAL INC.	2007		\$ -	\$ -	None
ALLIED FREIGHT FORWARDING	2006		\$ 1,633	\$ 1,633	None
AMERICAN PLUMBING SPECIALTIES	2003		\$ -	\$ -	6/28/02-5/18/08
APEX MANUFACTURING	2006		\$ 3,360,602	\$ 9,728,459	8/16/02-12/2/05
BAE SYSTEMS PLC	2010		\$-	\$ 42,393,093	None
BONDCOTE CORPORATION	2004		\$ 1,208,774	\$ 1,483,641	Administrative Agreement
BRIGHT LIGHTS USA	2005		\$ 1,684,728	\$ 2,068,591	12/13/05-5/11/06
CAREFREE GREENS INC.	2003		\$-	\$-	10/31-11/2/02; 8/26/05-8/31/07
CARTWRIGHT INTERNATIONAL INC.	2004		\$-	\$-	
CHARLES V. CLARK CO. INC.	2003		\$ 210,383	\$ 1,277,380	
COPELAND MANUFACTURING COMPANY	2002		\$-	\$-	4/28/00-10/27/03
EAGLE INC.	2001		\$ 8,367	\$ 8,367	2/15-11/14/01; Adm Agree
ENVIRONMENTAL TECHNOLOGIES GROUP	2007		\$-	\$-	8/8/07-2/10/10
EXECUTIVE RELOCATION INTERNATIONAL INC.	2006		\$-	\$-	
FOXTRONICS INC.	2005		\$-	\$ 7,127	
GEAR SPECIALISTS INC.	2003		\$ (18,933)	\$ 198,867	2/4/02-2/7/05
GOSSELIN WORLD WIDE MOVING NV	2006		\$-	\$ 1,030,489	1/21-3/8/04
GREENLEAF TECHNOLOGY ASSOCIATE	2002		\$-	\$-	3/27/02-11/13/08
HERCO AIRCRAFT MACHINE INC.	2003		\$-	\$ 256,900	1/27/03-1/26/06
HERLEY INDUSTRIES	2008		\$ 18,546,074	\$ 117,548,474	6/9-10/12/06&6/26-8/15/07
LIFT FORWARDERS INC.	2007		\$-	\$-	
MERRIMAC SYSTEMS CORPORATION	2002		\$-	\$-	3/27/02-11/13/08
NANOMATERIALS RESEARCH LLC	2004		\$-	\$ 1,813,460	3/11/05-1/31/08
NATIONAL AIR CARGO	2008		\$ 140,250	\$ 300,752	5/21-7/24/08 & Admin Agree

	Disposition		Obligations after	Total Obligations				
DEFENDANT NAME	Date	Note 1	Disposition	2001-2010	Debarment Period			
NAWEED BAKHSHI COMPANY	2009		\$ -	\$-	9/29/08 - Indefinite			
OC INC (ONE CHEROKEE INC.)	2004		\$-	\$ 8,809,028				
PASHA GROUP	2004		\$ 2,182,245	\$ 2,182,245	3/4-4/16/04			
PETRUS ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES INC.	2004		\$ 2,595,479	\$ 7,141,032	5/20-6/30/05 & Admin Agree			
PRECISION MACHINE ENGINEERING	2003		\$-	\$-				
RAEVERN, INDUSTRIES	2003		\$-	\$ 1,089,241	6/11/02-3/22/07			
RAMAN INTERNATIONAL INC.	2009		\$-	\$-	6/14/08 - Indefinite			
RFI CORPORATION 11-2209642	2005		\$-	\$-	Administrative Agreement			
ROBERT'S AUTO PARTS AND BODY S	2001		\$-	\$-	7/17/01-4/15/05			
RYAN'S WORLD INC.	2006		\$-	\$-	10/19-11/2/06			
SWEPCO TUBE CORP INC	2005		\$-	\$-	5/6/05-5/5/08			
TEMPERFORM USA LLC	2004		\$-	\$-	8/29/03-11/4/10			
UNITED AUTO PARTS INC.	2006		\$-	\$ 343,860	3/29/07-3/28/13			
VECTOR SYSTEMS INC.	2006		\$ 3,149	\$ 8,367,615	11/18/04-10/4/07			
WATEC INC.	2007		\$ 2,987,132	\$ 43,743,530	10/30/09-9/24/12			
WEDJ/THREE C'S	2006		\$-	\$ 1,572,760	10/21/05-3/10/08			
WOODSON & ASSOCIATES INC.	2005		\$-	\$ -	8/14/06-3/21/09			
TOTALS BY YEARS			\$ 33,079,743	\$ 254,503,167				
Note 1 - DOJ did no	ot identify any f	ines or re	stitution					

TABLE 1b - CRIMINAL CONVICTIONS				
DEFENDANT NAME	Disposition Date	Fine / Restitution / Settlement	Subsequent Total Obligations	Period of Debarment
Advanced Integrated Management	2007	\$ 57,218	none	
American Composite Timbers, Inc	2008	\$ 48,894	none	
C&D Distributors	2006	\$ 7,777,965	none	12/06 - 10/11/09
Capitol Data Products, LLC	2007	\$ 417,919	none	
Certified Slings, Inc.	2007	\$ 150,000	\$61,414	2/19/08 - 12/17/08
Dunlop Oil & Marine, Ltd. Marine Hose Divisior	2009	\$ 4,540,000	none	5/4/09 - indefinite
Eagle Bag Corporation	2006	\$ 40,000	none	2/2/07 - 7/25/09
Gulf Services Contracting	2006	\$ 96,451	none	8/17/07 - 7/9/13
IMS Safety (subcontractor)	2009	none identified	none	10/10/06 - 7/16/19
LM Products Inc	2007	\$ 82,448	none	8/2/07 - 5/22/08
Manuli Oil & Marine, Marine Hose Divison	2008	\$ 2,000,000	none	
National Compressor Exchange	2008	\$ 212,000	none	2/18/08 - 5/15/09
Parmatic Filter Corporation	2007	\$ 8,462,807	none	9/29/08 - 2/23/09
Patriot Services Inc., Staffing Services	2009	none identified	none	
Sima Salazar Group	2009	none identified	none	1/15/10 - indefinite
Spartan Chassis, Inc (subcontractor)	2008	\$ 6,000,000	none	
Trelleborg Industries, Marine Hose Division	2009	\$ 3,500,000	none	8/26/08 - 5/18/09
Wabash Environmental Technologies	2007	none identified	none	
Wade Covington Logging Tree Service	2007	\$ 4,000	none	
Totals			\$61,414	

---- -- -

Defendant/ Entity Name	Settlement or Judgment Date	Amount (Liability may be shared with multiple parties)	Obligations after Settlement or Judgment Date	Total Obligations 2001-2010
BE TECHNOLOGIES INTNL INC A/K/A AEPTEC MICROSYSTEMS INC	2006	\$ 1,630,000.00	\$ 69,456,101.00	\$ 77,377,526.00
- AEPTEC MICROSYSTEMS			\$ 1,049,210.00	\$ 129,358,119.00
AC, INC.	2007	\$ 350,000.00	\$ 22,705,344.00	\$ 156,858,167.00
ACCENTURE LLP	2007		\$ 301,530,400.00	\$ 1,229,579,346.00
ACCI AVIATION SERVICES	2004		\$ -	\$ -
ADREM INC	2006	\$ 2,013,000.67	\$ -	\$ -
AEROMETALS INC	2005	\$ 251,200.00	\$ 50,925,890.00	\$ 82,077,271.00
AEROSPATIALE GENERAL AVIA	2003	\$ 1,050,000.00	\$ -	\$ -
AGE REFINING	2006	\$ 900,000.00	\$ 498,680,565.00	\$ 854,672,161.00
AGES GROUP	2004	\$ 140,000.00	\$ 6,494,686.00	\$ 6,686,816.0
AIR LAND FORWARDER INC	2008	\$ 72,513.18	\$ -	\$ 221,524.0
AKAL SECURITY INC.	2007	\$ 18,000,000.00	\$ -	\$ 290,779,615.0
1 11	2008		see above	see above
ALAN FARMER TRUCKING CO., INC	2007		\$ 83,778.00	\$ 12,280,358.0
ALLIED FREIGHT FOWARDING	2008	\$ 432,598.14	\$ -	\$ 1,633.0
ALL-STAINLESS	2006	\$ 12,600,000.00	\$ -	\$ -
ALLSTATE VAN & STORAGE INC	2010	\$ 275,700.00	\$ -	\$ 7,893,174.0
AMERICAN MERCHANT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	2005		\$ -	\$ -
AMERICAN PLUMBING SPECIALTIES COMPANY, INC.	2004		\$ -	\$ -
ANDREAS CHRIST SPEDITION & MOBEL TRANSPORT GMBH	2010	\$ 200,000.00	\$ -	\$ -
APL LIMITED	2009	\$ 26,295,940.77	\$ -	\$ -
ARMY FLEET SUPPORT, LLC	2010	\$ 514,797.00	\$ -	\$ 2,346,580,465.0
ARPIN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC	2008	\$ 59,017.12	\$ -	\$ -
ASRC CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.	2004	\$ 140,000.00	\$ -	\$ (52,999.0

for the settlement or judgment amount. Therefore, the settlement and judgment amounts appearing in this table cannot be added to calculate the United States' total recoveries from DoD contractors during the period. Note, too, that liability for the settlement or judgment amount listed for a particular entity may be shared jointly and severally with other entities.

Defendant/ Entity Name	Settlement or Judgment Date	Amount (Liability may be shared with multiple parties)	Obligations after Settlement or Judgment Date	Total Obligations 2001-2010		
ASTROPOWER INC	2001	\$ 3,500,000.00	\$ (705.00)	\$ (98,224.00)		
ATLANTIC MOBILE	2000	\$ 3,200,000.00	\$ -	\$ -		
AVANADE	2007		\$ 1,729,649.00	\$ 1,754,149.00		
AVL INTERNATIONAL TRADING, INC.	2004		\$ -	\$ -		
BALL, BALL & BROSAMER INC	2000	\$ 300,000.00	\$ -	\$ -		
BARRDAY INC	2009	\$ 648,995.08	\$ 21,734.00	\$ 385,290.00		
BECK	2010		\$ -	\$ -		
BELL HELICOPTER TEXTRON INC	2010	\$ 16,570,018.00	\$ -	\$ 8,180,024,467.00		
BIRKART GLOBISTICS GMBH	2009	\$ 165,700,018.00	\$ -	\$ 838,810.00		
н н	2010	\$ 70,000.00	see above	see above		
BKC INDUSTRIES	2005		\$ 71,695.00	\$ 162,453.00		
BOEING A/C (MCDONNELL, DOUGLAS) RUSSIAN TITANIUM MATTER	2004	\$ 6,000,454.00	\$ -	\$ -		
- MCDONNELL DOUGLAS			\$ 50,772,397,087.00	\$ 83,317,104,948.00		
BOEING AEROSPACE SUPPORT CNTR.	2009		\$ -	\$ -		
BOEING COMPANY	2000	\$ 54,000,000.00	\$ 21,249,924,089.00	\$ 21,249,924,089.00		
н п	2003	\$ 651,663.66	see above	see above		
пп	2006	\$ 565,000,000.00	see above	see above		
	2009	\$ 2,000,000.00	see above	see above		
" "(Boeing Integrated Defense Systems)	2009	\$ 25,000,000.00	\$ -	\$ -		
BONDCOTE CORP	2004	\$ 1,700,000.00	\$ 1,208,774.00	\$ 1,483,641.00		
BRIDGESTONE CORPORATION	2009	\$ 178,108.00	\$ -	\$ -		
BRIDGESTONE INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTS	2009	\$ 178,108.00	\$ -	\$ 8,750.00		
BROOKEHILL FUNERAL HOME	2003		\$ -	\$ -		
BURKE ENGINEERING CO	2005	\$ 8,962.00	\$ 86,158.00	\$ 109,961.00		
CALIFORNIA MICROWAVE INC	2000	\$ 3,800,000.00	\$ 30,448,252.00	\$ 30,448,252.00		
CANADIAN COMMERCIAL CORPORATION	2008	\$ 300,000.00	\$ 3,186,868,621.00	\$ 7,462,075,878.00		
CAPCO PIPE COMP INC	2000	\$ 500,000.00	\$ -	\$ -		

Concerning civil cases, note that a single settlement or judgment may appear in this table multiple times, listed under each entity that shares liability for the settlement or judgment amount. Therefore, the settlement and judgment amounts appearing in this table cannot be added to calculate the United States' total recoveries from DoD contractors during the period. Note, too, that liability for the settlement or judgment amount listed for a particular entity may be shared jointly and severally with other entities.

Defendant/ Entity Name	Settlement or Judgment Date	Amount (Liability may be shared with multiple parties)	Obligations after Settlement or Judgment Date	Total Obligations 2001-2010
CAROLINA STEVEDORING DBT IN RE	2003	\$ 950,000.00	\$ 26,141,389.00	\$ 90,952,146.00
CARTWRIGHT INTERNATIONAL VAN LINES	2005	\$ 253,049.04	\$ -	\$ 227,153.00
CAVAL TOOL DIV	2003	\$ 120,000.00	\$ -	\$ 386,590.00
CH&A CORP	2003	\$ 30,000.00	\$ -	\$ -
CHRISTOPHER TOOL & MFG.,INC.	2004	+	\$ -	\$ -
CHROMALLOY GAS TURBINE CORP	2003	\$ 120,000.00	\$ 149,732,764.00	\$ 195,067,929.00
CHRYSLER	2006	\$ 325,000.00	\$ -	\$ -
CIRRUS DESIGN CORPORATION	2003		\$ -	\$ -
CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.	2010	\$ 48,000,000.00	\$ -	\$ 371,929.00
CMC ELECTRONICS	2004	\$ 9,600,000.00	\$ 2,060,482.00	\$ 15,126,710.00
COMPLETE METAL WORKS	2000	\$ 40,259.00	\$ -	\$ -
CONTINENTAL AG	2009	\$ 97,210.00	\$ -	\$ -
CONTINENTAL CHEMICAL CORP	2004	\$ 63,439.36	\$ -	\$ 71,243.00
COVAN INTERNATIONAL INC.	2008	\$ 51,358.72	\$ -	\$ -
CRANE CO D/B/A CRANE VALVE NORTH AMERICA	2007	\$ 7,100,000.00	\$ 150,131.00	\$ 1,476,373.00
CRANE VALVE CO	2007	\$ 400,000.00	\$ -	\$-
CUSTER BATTLES LLC	2010	\$ 9,198,000.00	\$ -	\$ 3,970,628.00
D&F SERVICES	2007		\$ -	\$ 89,412.00
DATATAPE INC	2000	\$ 285,000.00	\$ (48,176.00)	\$ (48,176.00)
DAVIDSON'S FABRICATING INC	2003	\$ 651,663.66	\$ 341,872.00	\$ 341,872.00
DEVILS LAKE SIOUX MANUFACTURING	2007		\$ -	\$ -
DIGITAL TECHS INC NKA DTI HOLDINGS INC	2000	\$ 49,500.00	\$ 38,210.00	\$ 38,210.00
DUNLOP OIL & MARINE LTD.	2009	\$ 97,210.00	\$ -	\$ -
DURANGO CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	2003		\$ (3,691.00)	\$ (3,691.00)
DYNAMICS CORP OF AMERICA DBA ELLIS & WATTS CO	2000	\$ 1,000,000.00	\$ -	\$ -
DYNAMICS RESEARCH CORP.	2009	\$ 15,348,266.00	\$ 86,192,583.00	\$ 644,614,002.00

Concerning civil cases, note that a single settlement or judgment may appear in this table multiple times, listed under each entity that shares liability for the settlement or judgment amount. Therefore, the settlement and judgment amounts appearing in this table cannot be added to calculate the United States' total recoveries from DoD contractors during the period. Note, too, that liability for the settlement or judgment amount listed for a particular entity may be shared jointly and severally with other entities.

Defendant/ Entity Name	Settlement or Judgment Date	may be	nt (Liability shared with ple parties)		Obligations after lement or Judgment Date	ŗ	Fotal Obligations 2001-2010
EASTMAN KODAK COMP IN RE	2000		285,000.00	\$	153,206,427.00	\$	153,206,427.00
EGL EAGLE GLOBAL LOGISTICS, L.P.	2006		,000,000.00	\$	82,532,992.00	\$	82,532,992.00
" "	2007	\$	300,000.00	+	see above	-	see above
п п	2008	\$	750,000.00		see above		see above
ELECTROMECHANICAL SYS, COMSAT, CRSI	2001		,500,000.00	\$	-	\$	_
ELLIS & WATTS	2003	\$	680,000.00	\$	3,229,082.00	\$	3,229,082.00
EMC CORPORATION	2009		,536,861.47	\$	337,011,278.00	\$	337,011,278.00
п п	2010	\$ 87	,500,000.00		see above		see above
ENDRESS-HAUSER SYSTEMS & GAUGING DIV F/K/A COGGINS SYSTEMS ET AL	2004	\$ 4	,500,000.00	\$	-	\$	-
ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT CONCEPTS, INC.	2006			\$	15,116,433.00	\$	20,258,776.00
ENTERPRISE ELECS CORP	2001	\$	100,000.00	\$	4,465,122.00	\$	4,809,208.00
ENVIRONMENTAL ABATEMENT SERVICES INC	2004	\$	66,000.00	\$	-	\$	-
ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT, INC.	2007			\$	24,310,263.00	\$	62,214,125.00
ESICORP	2002	\$ 2	,200,000.00	\$	-	\$	-
FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR CORP.	2009	\$ 3	,536,861.47	\$	-	\$	64,841.00
FARMERS' RICE CO-OP	2000	\$	200,000.00	\$	-	\$	-
FCA CLAIMS PRE-FILING & CDA/FORFEITURE COUNTERCLAIMS IN CLAIMS COURT ACTION	2003	\$ 14	,500,000.00	\$	-	\$	-
- JOHNSON CONTROLS WORLD SERVICES				\$	631,821,384.00	\$	1,146,026,806.00
FEL CORP	2007	\$	95,909.00	\$	-	\$	-
FIFECO, INC.	2008			\$	-	\$	217,791.00
FLUOR, DANIEL INC	2001	\$ 8	,200,000.00	\$	(2,782,114.00)	\$	(2,782,114.00)
FMC CORP	2001	\$ 3	,075,000.00	\$	894,742.00	\$	981,592.00
FMC, INC	2001	\$ 84	,877,097.63	\$	-	\$	-
FORCE PROTECTION INC F/K/A TECHNICAL SOLUTIONS GROUP ET AL	2006	\$ 1	,800,000.00	\$	2,609,216,093.00	\$	2,609,287,406.00
- TECHNICAL SOLUTIONS GROUP				\$	(45,508.00)	\$	56,218,391.00
FREEDOM NY, INC.	2007			\$	-	\$	-
Concerning civil cases, note that a single settleme for the settlement or judgment amount. Therefo United States' total recoveries from DoD contra particular e	ore, the settlement	and judgme riod. Note,	ent amounts a too, that liabil	ppearing lity for th	in this table cannot b e settlement or judgr	e ado	led to calculate the

Defendant/ Entity Name	Settlement or Judgment Date	Amount (Liability may be shared with multiple parties)	Obligations after Settlement or Judgment Date	Total Obligations 2001-2010
FURUNO ELECTRIC CO, LTD	2007		\$ 2,273.00	\$ 13,423.00
FURUNO HONG KONG LTD	2010		\$ -	\$ -
FURUNO SHANGHAI CO. LTD	2010		\$ -	\$ -
FURUNO SOFTECH CO. LTD	2010		\$ -	\$ -
FURUNO USA INC.	2010		\$ -	\$ 5,111,952.00
GAB BUS SERVICE INC ET AL	2003	\$ 30,000.00	\$ -	\$ -
GALAXY PLCR LOWELL DALE	2001		\$ -	\$ -
GALE	2009		\$ -	\$ -
GATOR HAWK ARMOR INC	2007	\$ 425,000.00	\$ -	\$ 316,564.00
GENERAL DYNAMICS	2006	\$ 12,600,000.00	\$ (18,358,558.00)	\$ 22,955,471.00
н н	2008	\$ 210,000.00	see above	see above
GENERAL DYNAMICS ARMAMENT & TECHNICAL PRODUCTS INC ET AL	2008	\$ 4,058,750.00	\$ 1,295,208,235.00	\$ 5,127,763,081.00
GENERAL ELECTRIC CO	2000	\$ 59,522.00	\$ 21,276,203,797.00	\$ 21,276,203,797.00
пп	2002	\$ 6,200,000.00	see above	see above
н п	2004		see above	see above
пп	2006	\$ 11,500,000.00	see above	see above
GLOBAL WORLDWIDE	2008	\$ 432,598.14	\$ -	\$ -
GOLD LEAF DISTRIBUTION COMPANY	2005		\$ -	\$ -
GOODYEAR TIRE & RUBBER COMPANY	2006		\$ 41,636,143.00	\$ 174,059,829.00
GOVT BUSINESS SERVICES GROUP LLC	2006	\$ 2,013,000.67	\$ -	\$ -
GRAFIL INC.	2005	\$ 9,000,000.00	\$ -	\$ -
GREAT EASTERN SHIPPING INC	2001	\$ 4,323,673.79	\$ -	\$ -
GRUMMAN LA CORP ET AL	2000	\$ 750,000.00	\$ -	\$ -
GULF AGENCY CO	2009	\$ 250,000.00	\$ -	\$ 3,960,042.00
HANDY & HARMAN INC	2001	\$ 1,000,000.00	\$ -	\$ -
HARRIS CORP	2000	\$ 100,000.00	\$ 8,974,741,028.00	\$ 8,974,741,028.00

Concerning civil cases, note that a single settlement or judgment may appear in this table multiple times, listed under each entity that shares liability for the settlement or judgment amount. Therefore, the settlement and judgment amounts appearing in this table cannot be added to calculate the United States' total recoveries from DoD contractors during the period. Note, too, that liability for the settlement or judgment amount listed for a particular entity may be shared jointly and severally with other entities.

Defendant/ Entity Name	Settlement or Judgment Date	Amount (Liability may be shared with multiple parties)	Obligations after Settlement or Judgment Date	Total Obligations 2001-2010
" "	2002	\$ 343,500.00	see above	see above
HARSCO CORP	2001	\$ 3,075,000.00	\$ 2,953,892.00	\$ 2,953,892.00
HAWKINS & POWERS	2002	\$ 1,600,000.00	\$ 35,152.00	\$ 35,152.00
HAWPE CONST INC	2000	\$ 11,000.00	\$ 9,207,524.00	\$ 9,207,524.00
HENSEL PHELPS CONSTRUCTION CO	2001	\$ 126,000.00	\$ 5,235,067,281.00	\$ 5,435,966,294.00
HERLEY INDUSTRIES	2008	\$ 6,000,000.00	\$ 18,546,074.00	\$ 117,548,474.00
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY	2010	\$ 55,000,000.00	\$ -	\$ 831,657,335.00
HEXCEL CORP.,	2010		\$ -	\$ 25,788,109.00
HILBORN-HAMBURGER INC	2007	\$ 251,094.68	\$ -	\$ -
HILLCO MANUFACTURING CO	2002		\$ 648,089.00	\$ 648,089.00
HONEYWELL INTNL INC	2006	\$ 2,625,000.00	\$ 4,418,040,035.00	\$ 10,578,307,380.00
HUNT VALLEY	2006	\$ 391,764.71	\$ -	\$ -
HUNT VALVE COMPANY, INC.	2006		\$ 10,951,771.00	\$ 17,102,585.00
HYDROFORM USA	2004	\$ 600,000.00	\$ -	\$ 8,451.00
HYSITRON INC	2002	\$ 10,500.00	\$ 663,461.00	\$ 663,461.00
INTEGRAL LOGISTICS CORP.	2002		\$ -	\$ -
INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES, INC.	2007	\$ 5,288,700.50	\$ 454,986,331.00	\$ 1,084,655,181.00
INTER-WORLD FORWARDERS INC	2010	\$ 275,700.00	\$ -	\$ 12,805.00
ITO MOBEL TRANSPORT GMBH	2009	\$ 150,000.00	\$ -	\$ -
J&J MAINTENANCE INC.	2000		\$ -	Ν
JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP INC	2000	\$ 35,000,000.00	\$ 656,798,183.00	\$ 656,798,183.00
JET CONSTRUCTION INC. INCNY	2003		\$ -	\$ -
JET FORWARDING INC	2008	\$ 50,751.44	\$ -	\$ -
KAYDON CORP	2001	\$ 6,500,000.00	\$ 75,315,306.00	\$ 83,641,998.00
KLOKE ENTERPRISES INC	2006	\$ 375,000.00	\$ 1,167,394.00	\$ 340,101.00
KLOKE MOVERS INC	2006	\$ 375,000.00	\$ 13,986.00	\$ 13,986.00
L S STARRETT CO	2004	\$ 500,000.00	\$ 83,420.00	\$ 83,420.00
L-3 COMMUNICATIONS CORP.	2008	\$ 2,148,127.00	\$ 2,768,829,818.00	\$ 7,761,873,776.00
Concerning civil cases, note that a single settleme for the settlement or judgment amount. Theref United States' total recoveries from DoD contra particular	fore, the settlement a actors during the per	and judgment amounts ap	pearing in this table cannot t ty for the settlement or judgr	be added to calculate the

Defendant/ Entity Name	Settlement or Judgment Date	Amount (Liability may be shared with multiple parties)		Obligations after ttlement or Judgment Date	Total Obligations 2001-2010
L-3 VERTEX AEROSPACE LLC F/K/A VERTEX AEROSPACE INC ET AL	2008	\$ 4,000,000.00) \$	601,246,732.00	\$ 1,176,872,113.00
- VERTEX AEROSPACE			\$	103,481,587.00	\$ 750,994,110.00
LAWRENCE LIVERMORE LAB	2004	\$ 3,897,366.00) \$	-	\$ -
LEAR SEIGLER MANAGEMENT SERVICES	2004		\$	-	\$ -
LEAR SIEGLER MGT SERVICES CORP ET AL	2004	\$ 4,100,000.00) \$	-	\$ -
LEAR SIEGLER SERVICES, INC	2000	\$ 132,000.00) \$	6,372,894,270.00	\$ 6,372,894,270.00
LEO BURNETT, USA, INC.	2009	\$ 15,100,000.00	\$	-	\$ 1,123,869,658.00
LIBBY INTNL	2000	\$ 500,000.00	\$	-	\$ -
LINCOLN FABRICS LTD	2010	\$ 1,880,000.00) \$	-	\$ -
LITHIUMPOWER TECHNOLOGIES	2008	\$ 5,015,365.00) \$	-	\$ -
LITTON GUIDANCE & CONTROL SYSTEM DIV	2002	\$ 1,717,951.85	5 \$	-	\$ -
LITTON INDUSTRIES INC	2002	\$ 1,717,951.85	5 \$	10,038,662.00	\$ 26,995,102.00
LITTON SYSTEM INC	2002	\$ 32,048.15	5 \$	2,786,430,036.00	\$ 3,317,559,335.00
LITTON SYSTEMS INC	2010	\$ 12,500,000.00)	see above	see above
LOCKHEED MARTIN CORP	2000	\$ 4,250,000.00) \$	200,081,117,068.00	\$ 210,081,117,073.00
п п	2002	\$ 6,200,000.00)	see above	see above
п п	2003	\$ 37,900,000.00)	see above	see above
пп	2003	\$ 1,407,834.00)	see above	see above
пп	2006	\$ 12,600,000.00)	see below	see above
LOCKHEED SANDERS	2000	\$ 5,000,000.00) \$	-	\$ -
LOE'S HIGHPORT INC	2000	\$ 350,000.00	\$	-	\$ -
LOGISTIK UND SERVICE KG	2009	\$ 222,146.00	\$	-	\$ -
LOGWIN AG	2010	\$ 70,000.00) \$	-	\$ -
LOVERING-JOHNSON INC	2002	\$ 160,000.00	\$	-	\$ (524,358.00)
L-T ENTERPRISES, INC.	2000	\$ 15,000.00) \$	-	\$ -
MANTECH ADVANCED SYSTEMS INTNL INC ET AL	2009	\$ 140,000.00	\$	19,739,610.00	\$ 492,313,521.00
Concerning civil cases, note that a single settleme for the settlement or judgment amount. Therefor United States' total recoveries from DoD contrac particular e	ore, the settlement ctors during the pe	and judgment amount	s appearin ability for t	g in this table cannot b he settlement or judgr	be added to calculate the

Defendant/ Entity Name	Settlement or Judgment Date	ma	mount (Liability by be shared with nultiple parties)	S	Obligations after ettlement or Judgment Date		Total Obligations 2001-2010
MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY, INC.	2009	\$	758,000.00	\$	14,973,009.00	\$	232,003,842.00
MARINE FENDERS INTERNATIONAL, INC.	2009	\$	100,000.00	\$	205,675.00	\$	1,586,393.00
MARTIN MARIETTA CORP	2003	\$	37,900,000.00	\$	(3,362,532.00)	\$	(3,362,532.00)
MATERIALS & ELECTROCHEMICAL RESEARCH CORP.	2008			\$	7,804,752.00	\$	13,751,175.00
MAXWELL LAB ET AL	2000	\$	320,000.00	\$	-	\$	-
MAXWELL-SIERRA CAPACITOR & FILTER DIV	2000	\$	320,000.00	\$	-	\$	-
MCC CONST CORP	2002	\$	1,690,000.00	\$	202,364,882.00	\$	240,550,753.00
MCDONNELL DOUGLAS HELICOPTER SYS	2003	\$	2,500,000.00	\$	4,544,544,804.00	\$	7,693,963,067.00
MERO HOME IMPROVEMENT INC.	2000			\$	-	\$	-
MIDDLE EAST LEASING ET AL	2010	\$	9,198,000.00	\$	-	\$	-
MILAN ARSENAL INVESTIGATIONS	2000	\$	270,000.00	\$	-	\$	-
MITSUBISHI RAYON CO. LTD.	2005	\$	9,000,000.00	\$	-	\$	-
MONEY MOVERS INC.	2005			\$	-	\$	-
MORIN, JEFFREYTURING CO	2002			\$	-	\$	-
MORITZ EMBROIDERY WORKS, INC.	2007	\$	500,000.00	\$	-	\$	-
MORPAC INC.	2006	\$	1.00	\$	1,182,091.00	\$	2,432,734.00
MORRISON KNUDSEN CORP	2005	\$	1,174,240.62	\$	56,993.00	\$	13,468,541.00
MOTOROLA INC.	2006	\$	12,000.00	\$	510,580,669.00	\$	2,205,228,878.00
MPC INC	2009	\$	22,500,000.00	\$	-	\$	-
MULTISERVICE JOINT VENTURE LLC	2009	\$	17,000.00	\$	-	\$	-
N AMERICA PIPE CORP	2000	\$	500,000.00	\$	-	\$	-
NATIONAL AIR CARGO, INC.	2008	\$	16,150,000.00	\$	140,250.00	\$	300,752.00
NATIONAL COMPRESSOR EXCHANGE, INC.	2008			\$	-	\$	1,252,730.00
NATL ACADEMY OF SCIENCE	2008	\$	1,500,000.00	\$	41,932,890.00	\$	191,303,472.00
NEWPORT ADHESIVES AND COMPOSITS	2005	\$	9,000,000.00	\$	-	\$	-
NEWPORT NEWS SHIPBUILDING	2003	\$	60,000,000.00	\$	2,509,237,790.00	\$	4,313,842,676.00
NEWPORT NEWS SHIPBUILDING & DRY DOCK	2003	\$	21,000,000.00	\$	11,960,353,658.00	\$	18,251,442,417.00
Concerning civil cases, note that a single settleme for the settlement or judgment amount. Therefor United States' total recoveries from DoD contra	ore, the settlement	and ju	dgment amounts	appeari	ng in this table cannot h	be ad	ded to calculate the

particular entity may be shared jointly and severally with other entities.

Defendant/ Entity Name	Settlement or Judgment Date	Amount (Liabilit may be shared wit multiple parties)	h Settlement or Judgment	Total Obligations 2001-2010
NGNN	2006	\$ 12,600,000.00		\$ -
NOL GROUP	2009	\$ 26,295,940.77		\$ -
NORTH AMERICAN CONSTRUCTION CORP	2003	\$ 30,000.0		\$ -
NORTH AMERICAN VAN LINES	2008	\$ 432,598.14		\$ 292,384.00
NORTHROP CORP	2000	\$ 750,000.00		\$ -
NORTHROP GRUMMAN CORP	2000	\$ 1,400,000.0	0 \$ 9,153,656,586.00	\$ 9,153,656,586.00
" "	2000	\$ 5,342,736.0	0 see above	see above
" "	2003	\$ 17,823,000.00		see above
" "	2004	\$ 8,000.00) see above	see above
" "	2005	\$ 62,000,000.00) see above	see above
" "	2009	\$ 325,000,000.0	0 see above	see above
NORTHROP GRUMMAN SYSTEMS CORP	2010	\$ 12,500,000.00) \$ -	\$ 32,714,418,345.00
O'GARA-HESS & EISENHARDT ARMORING	2000	\$ 1,100,000.0	0 \$ 119,843,213.00	\$ 119,843,213.00
OGDEN, UTAH (CONVERSION CLAIM) CITY OF OGDEN	2006	\$ 11,914,852.84	4 \$ -	\$ -
ONIZUKA CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT JOINT VENTURE	2005	\$ 152,000.00) \$ -	\$ -
PANALPINA INC	2010	\$ 375,000.00) \$ -	\$ 219,246.00
PARSONS COMP	2007	\$ 600,000.00		\$ -
PARSONS ENGINEERING SCIENCE INC ET AL	2007	\$ 600,000.00) \$ 1,022,396.00	\$ 148,319,373.00
PASHA GROUP	2008	\$ 13,000,000.00) \$ 2,182,245.00	\$ 2,182,245.00
PB FASTENERS	2005	\$ 2,500,000.0	0 \$ -	\$ -
PEAVY, GRADY JR.R. INC.	2003		\$ -	\$ -
PEIELECTRONICS	2002	\$ 850,000.00) \$ 11,713,246.00	\$ 137,610,365.00
" "	2007	\$ 401,000.00) see above	see above
PEMCO AEROPLEX	2001		\$ 10,049,880.00	\$ 11,041,565.00
PHOENIX AG	2009	\$ 97,210.0	0 \$ -	\$ -
PHOTON RESEARCH ASSOCIATES INC	2004	\$ 1,900,000.0	0 \$ 50,070,956.00	\$ 99,182,165.00
PI CONST CORP	2002	\$ 1,690,000.0	0 \$ 1,108.00	\$ 6,099,078.00
Concerning civil cases, note that a single settleme for the settlement or judgment amount. Therefor United States' total recoveries from DoD contrac particular e	ore, the settlement a ctors during the per	and judgment amount	ts appearing in this table cannot ability for the settlement or judg	be added to calculate the

Defendant/ Entity Name	Settlement or Judgment Date	Amount (Liabili may be shared wi multiple parties	th Se	Obligations after ettlement or Judgment Date	Total Obligations 2001-2010		
PLUMMER PRECISION OPTICS COMP PTE LTD	2001	\$ 2,375,000.0		-	\$ -		
PORTERSVILLE SALES & TESTING	2005		\$	-	\$ -		
PPC	2008	\$ 52,871,834.0	1 \$	20,344.00	\$ 294,285.00		
PRATT & WHITNEY	2008	\$ 52,871,834.0	1 \$	505,164,273.00	\$ 1,391,405,025.00		
" "	2008	\$ 7,090,000.0	0	see above	see above		
PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS, LLP	2007	\$ 5,288,700.5	50 \$	122,164,196.00	\$ 273,076,874.00		
PRIME SUCCESSIONS INC.	2003		\$	-	\$ -		
PROTECTIVE PRODUCTS INTNL INC	2008	\$ 960,000.0	0 \$	37,228,353.00	\$ 200,040,242.00		
PSI TRONIX INC ET AL	2000	\$ 60,000.0	00 \$	-	\$ -		
RAYTHEON AIRCRAFT CO INC	2002	\$ 3,990,000.0	0 \$	1,810,484,241.00	\$ 2,111,057,526.00		
RAYTHEON ENGINEERS	2000	\$ 2,570,000.0	0 \$	34,353,194.00	\$ 34,353,194.00		
READINESS MANAGEMENT SUPPORT LC	2009	\$ 1,000,000.0	0 \$	292,802,478.00	\$ 1,603,636,764.00		
REGENTS O/T UNIVERSITY OF CA	2004	\$ 3,897,366.0	0 \$	25,982,532.00	\$ 26,203,750.00		
RJO ENTERPRISES INC	2003	\$ 4,000,000.0	0 \$	-	\$ 3,650,321.00		
RYAN'S WORLD INC	2006	\$ 120,000.0	0 \$	-	\$ -		
SAFETY-KLEEN	2002	\$ 68,267.0	0 \$	69,796.00	\$ 9,684,054.00		
SAUCEDO, LOUIE JR. INC.	2003		\$	-	\$ -		
SCIENCE & APPLIED TECHNOLOGY INC (SAT)	2003	\$ 3,049,098.0	0 \$	1,110,444.00	\$ 73,571,744.00		
SCIENCE AND APPLIED TECHNOLOGY, INC	2004			see above	see above		
SCIENCE & ENGINEERING ASSOC INC	2005	\$ 9,500,000.0	0 \$	82,600,776.00	\$ 103,146,225.00		
SCIENCE APPLICATIONS INTNL CORP	2005	\$ 2,500,000.0	0 \$	9,681,803,234.00	\$ 10,891,812,977.00		
SEA INFORMATION SERVICES INC	2005	\$ 9,500,000.0	0 \$	-	\$ -		
SEABOARD SURETY COMP ET AL	2000	\$ 300,000.0	0 \$	-	\$ -		
SEAWARD HOLDINGS, INC.	2009	\$ 1,000,000.0	0 \$	-	\$ -		
SECURE GLOBAL DISTRIBUTION	2010	\$ 9,198,000.0	0 \$	-	\$ -		
SEQUOIA TOOL INC.	2003		\$	115,454.00	\$ 115,454.00		
SERUCE, KG	2010	\$ 70,000.0	0 \$	-	\$ -		
SHINWHA ELECTRONICS CORP	2005	\$ 1,200,000.0	0 \$	-	\$ 798,177.00		
Concerning civil cases, note that a single settlement or judgment may appear in this table multiple times, listed under each entity that shares liability for the settlement or judgment amount. Therefore, the settlement and judgment amounts appearing in this table cannot be added to calculate the United States' total recoveries from DoD contractors during the period. Note, too, that liability for the settlement or judgment amount listed for a particular entity may be shared jointly and severally with other entities.							

Defendant/ Entity Name	Settlement or Judgment Date	ma	mount (Liability y be shared with ultiple parties)		Obligations after Settlement or Judgment Date		Total Obligations 2001-2010
SIGNAL COMMUN SYS & SUPPLY INC	2003	\$	241,000.00		\$ -	\$	
CERADYNE AIRCRAFT CORP.	2010	\$	1,200,000.00		\$ -	\$	_
SIKORSKY AIRCRAFT CO.	2009	\$	2,941,000.00		\$ 3,420,623,059.00	-	22,556,668,384.00
SIOUX MANUFACTURING CORPORATION	2007	Ŷ	2)) 11)000100		\$ 3,936,747.00	-	6,482,647.00
" "	2008				see above	Ψ	see above
SPARTAN, CHASIS	2008	\$	1,700,000.00		\$ -	\$	-
SPECO CORP	2000	\$	54,000,000.00		\$ -	\$	-
SPIRIT LAKE DAKOTA SIOUX	2007	Ŷ	0 1,000,000,000		\$ -	\$	-
SPIRIT LAKE NATION	2007				\$ -	\$	-
SPIRIT LAKE SIOUX TRIBE	2007				\$ -	\$	-
SPIRIT LAKE TRIBE A/K/A SPIRIT LAKE NATION ET AL	2007	\$	1,935,000.00		\$ -	\$	-
SPIRIT LAKE TRIBE	2007				\$ -	\$	-
" "	2008				see above		see above
STANDARD MANAGING GEN AGCY INC ET AL	2002	\$	1,690,000.00		\$ -	\$	-
STONEBRIDGE PARTNERS	2004	\$	1,700,000.00		\$ -	\$	-
STRANDFLEX DIV OF MD SPECIALTY WIRE INC	2001	\$	1,000,000.00		\$ 42,000.00	\$	42,000.00
SWEPCO TUBE CORP	2005	\$	617,500.00		\$ -	\$	-
SYSTRAN CORP ET AL	2000	\$	49,500.00		\$ 31,523,723.00	\$	31,523,723.00
TABER EXTRUSION ET AL	2002	\$	5,455,821.50		\$ 143,303.00	\$	143,303.00
"	2004	\$	930,000.00		see above	\$	-
TABER METALS	2002	\$	5,455,821.50		\$ -	\$	-
"	2004	\$	930,000.00		see above		see above
TBM, INC.	2002	\$	1,257,000.00		\$ 32,287.00	\$	36,523.00
TECH RESOURCES INC	2000	\$	2,500,000.00		\$ 8,266,349.00	\$	8,266,349.00
TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT GROUP INC	2004	\$	310,000.00		\$ 20,897,723.00	\$	27,109,670.00
TELEPHONICS CORP	2000	\$	400,000.00		\$ 201,593,716.00	\$	201,593,716.00
TELESIS TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION	2010				\$ -	\$	2,818,730.00
TEMPERFORM USA	2004	\$	600,000.00		\$ -	\$	-
Concerning civil cases, note that a single settleme for the settlement or judgment amount. Therefor United States' total recoveries from DoD contra- particular e	ore, the settlement	and ju riod. 1	dgment amounts Note, too, that liab	appe oility	earing in this table cannot for the settlement or judg	be ad	lded to calculate the

Defendant/ Entity Name	Settlement or Judgment Date	Amount (Liability may be shared with multiple parties)	Obligations after Settlement or Judgment Date	Total Obligations 2001-2010
TETRA TECH INC.	2006		\$ 307,717,800.00	\$ 596,215,917.00
THIEL LOGISTIK AG	2009	\$ 222,146.00	\$ -	\$ -
TOHO TEMAX AMERICA	2005	\$ 8,750,000.00	\$ -	\$ -
TOHO TEMAXCO., LTD	2005	\$ 8,750,000.00	\$ -	\$ -
TORAY COMPOSITES	2008	\$ 15,250,000.00	\$ -	\$ 26,084.00
TOUCHSTONE RESEARCH LABORATORY, LTD.	2008	\$ 86,000.00	\$ 2,466,332.00	\$ 17,513,877.00
TRELLEBORG, AB	2009	\$ 14,000,000.00	\$ -	\$ -
TRELLEBORG ENGINEERED SYSTEMS	2009	\$ 14,000,000.00	\$ -	\$ 1,481.00
TRIUMPH GROUP OPERATIONS INC D/B/A A BIEDERMAN INC	2005	\$ 190,000.00	\$ 438,621.00	\$ 592,161.00
TRW INC	2003	\$ 111,200,000.00	\$ 4,456,559,695.00	\$ 8,864,119,814.00
" "	2004		see above	see above
" "	2009	\$ 325,000,000.00	see above	see above
TURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE	2005	\$ 6,635,345.24	\$ 156,979,952.00	\$ 255,473,494.00
TX INSTRUMENTS CORP	2000	\$ 60,000.00	\$ 617,447,012.00	\$ 617,447,012.00
U.S. TECHNOLOGY CORP.	2005		see above	see above
US TECHNOLOGY CORP	2005	\$ 1,142,000.00	\$ 9,286,046.00	\$ 23,181,294.00
UNC/LEAR SERVICES INC	2004	\$ 4,100,000.00	\$ (122,826.00)	\$ (122,826.00)
UNC LEAR SIEGLER	2004		see above	see above
UNIDYNE CORP	2001	\$ 1,845,750.00	\$ 182,099,823.00	\$ 215,427,850.00
UNISYS INC	2001	\$ 1,430,000.00	\$ 1,504,882,828.00	\$ 1,781,978,407.00
" "	2002	\$ 2,122,603.00	see above	see above
UNITED COOLAIR INC.	2006		\$ 31,670.00	\$ 407,612.00
UNITED DEFENSE	2001	\$ 3,075,000.00	\$ 4,220,026,217.00	\$ 5,050,508,598.00
" "	2005	\$ 7,500,000.00	see above	see above
UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORP	2008	\$ 7,090,000.00	\$ 5,502,329,633.00	\$ 23,626,650,481.00
URS CORP (EG&G DIV)	2009	\$ 1,765,164.40	\$ 3,504,392.00	\$ 16,285,323.00

Concerning civil cases, note that a single settlement or judgment may appear in this table multiple times, listed under each entity that shares liability for the settlement or judgment amount. Therefore, the settlement and judgment amounts appearing in this table cannot be added to calculate the United States' total recoveries from DoD contractors during the period. Note, too, that liability for the settlement or judgment amount listed for a particular entity may be shared jointly and severally with other entities.

Defendant/ Entity Name	Settlement or Judgment Date	Amount (Liability may be shared with multiple parties)	Obligations after Settlement or Judgment Date	Total Obligations 2001-2010
UXB INTERNATIONAL INC.	2003		see above	\$ 74,734,432.00
	2002	\$ 570,512.89	\$ 60,472,933.00	\$ -
VIACOM INC.	2002	\$ 443,733.00	\$ 2,988,524.00	\$ 3,630,149.00
VIKTORIA INTERNATIONAL SPEDITION	2010	\$ 299,787.50	\$ -	\$ -
W.W. GRAINGER, INC.	2008	\$ 6,000,000.00	\$ 18,200,721.00	\$ 88,942,007.00
WAGNER MARITIME INC T/A DAVIS BOAT WORKS	2001	\$ 400,000.00	\$ -	\$ -
WEDJ INC D/B/A WEDJ/THREE C'S INC	2006	\$ 1,257,352.00	\$ -	\$ 1,572,760.00
WESTERN SALES & TESTING OF AMARILLO INC ET AL	2005	\$ 2,900,000.00	\$ 1,832,266.00	\$ 1,832,266.00
WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORP	2000	\$ 500,000.00	\$ -	\$ -
WESTWORLD CONTRACTORS INCNY	2003		\$ -	\$ -
WHITMAN, REQUARDT & ASSOCIATES ET AL	2003	\$ 25,000.00	\$ 18,051,393.00	\$ 18,051,393.00
WILLIAMS BUILDING CORP	2001	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 1,270,876.00	\$ 3,604,109.00
WILLIAMS INTNL	2003	\$ 2,177,000.00	\$ 80,674,756.00	\$ 101,878,281.00
WORLD WIDE EQUIPMENT SALES & TECHS INC DBA INDECO NORTH AMER ET AL	2002	\$ 275,000.00	\$ 7,305.00	\$ 7,305.00
YOKOHAMA RUBBER CO. LTD.	2009	\$ 173,410.00	\$ 164,959.00	\$ 3,091,277.00
TOTALS			\$ 398,081,775,397	\$ 572,870,228,456

for the settlement or judgment amount. Therefore, the settlement and judgment amounts appearing in this table cannot be added to calculate the United States' total recoveries from DoD contractors during the period. Note, too, that liability for the settlement or judgment amount listed for a particular entity may be shared jointly and severally with other entities.

TABLE 2B -Civil Judgments/Settlements	· · · · · ·			
Defendant/ Entity Name	Settlement or Judgment Date	Settlement Amount/Fine (Liability may be shared with multiple parties)/Restitution		Obligations after Settlement or Judgment Date
1 Nation Technology Corporation	2006	\$ 140,000		none
AAI ACL	2009	\$ 337,679		none
Advanced Technology Materials, Inc	2008	\$ 185,000		\$ (5,669)
Air Cargo Expediters	2006	\$ 543,000		none
AIT Worldwide Logistics Inc	2006	\$ 342,481		none
American President Lines Ltd Inc	2006	\$ 25,238,520		\$ 5,409,343
Armor Holdings Products LLC Inc	2008	\$ 30,000,000		\$ 349,794
Augusta Engine Parts Inc	2007	\$ 20,876		\$ 974,076
Avaya	2009	none identified		none
Aviation Manpower Services	2007	\$ 297,343		none
Avinstro Ltd	2006	\$ 258,515		none
Black Hill Services	2007	\$ 400,000		none
Breeze Eastern	2009	\$ 1,123,593		\$ 15,262,087
Brown & Root Services Corp (Halliburton)	2006	\$ 8,000,000	Í	\$ 2,605,044
Business Plus Corporation	2009	\$ 810,000		\$ 531,352
Cascade Distributing Inc.	2008	\$ 779,078		\$ 18,415
Composite Analysis Group Inc.	2008	\$ 508,284		\$ (5,895)
Lipsey Mountain Spring Water				
Computer Sciences corporation	2008	\$ 1,116,550		\$ 5,613,259
Crawford & Company Healthcare/Claims Mgt	2006	\$ 1,363,204		none
Data Physics Corp / Data Physics USA	2007	none identified		\$ (96,852)
DSEC	2008	\$ 7,776		none
East Coast Fruit Company, Inc	2009	\$ 685,000		\$ 95,895
Environmental Technologies Group	2006	none identified		\$-
Essex PB&R Corporation	2009	\$ 45,212		none
Expedited Cargo Services	2005	\$ 425,000		none
Filter-Mart Corporation	2007	\$ 97,049		none
Gear Wizard Inc.	2008	\$ 222,046		none
Gichner Systems Group	2008	\$ 249,479		\$ 53,414,582
Globe Electronics	2007	\$ 150,000		none
Government Facilities Supply	2007	none identified	Ĺ	none
Green Mountain Knitting	2007	\$ 25,000		none
GTA Containers	2008	\$ 90,000		\$ 27,084,906
Hawker Beechcraft Corporation	2007	none identified		\$ 695,823,162
Horizon Aerospace LLC	2007	\$ 100,000		none
H. R. Foss	2007	\$ 29,876		none

_____.

J-Squared Inc	2009	\$	400,000	\$ 8,888,556
Kamon Dayron Inc	2008	none	identified	\$ 1,807,011
Lift-a-loft Corporation	2007	\$	250,000	\$ 129,932
Mechanical Equipment Co	2008	\$	300,000	none
Medquist Incorporated	2008	\$	6,006,000	\$ 60,842
Molex Caribe, Inc	2006	\$	86,529	none
Patton Construction	2006	\$	125,000	none
PCC Airfoils	2008	\$	2,000,000	none
Precision Castparts Corporation	2008	\$	2,021,284	none
Purdy Corporation	2009	\$	500,000	none
Scott Logistics Corp	2008	\$	367,323	none
Senior Systems Technology Inc	2008	\$	1,028,000	none
Stran Technologies Company	2009	\$	35,000	\$ 452,490
Teledyne Reynolds Inc	2008	\$	826,000	\$ 2,065,133
Telesis Technology Corp	2008	\$	1,870,890	none
The Sigmon Group	2008	\$	1,100,000	none
Tiger Industrial Systems	2007	\$	50,000	none
TW Metals inc	2008	\$	215,000	none
University of Massachusetts	2009	\$	636,500	\$ 110,800
Visual Innovations Company Inc	2006	\$	2,635	\$ 2,278,944
Weill Medical	2009	\$	2,098,435	none
West-Hem Aircraft Supplies Inc	2009	\$	600,000	\$ 275
Yale University School of Medice Grant & Contract Admin Office, Office of Scientific Affairs	2008	\$	7,600,000	none
Total Obligations				\$ 822,867,482

· ------ · · · · --

· · · · · - - - ·

TABLE 3

Not Found means not listed in EPLS

Defendant/ Entity Name	Settlement or Judgment Date	EPLS Data - Suspension, Proposed Debarment or Debarment
3E TECHNOLOGIES INTNL INC A/K/A AEPTEC MICROSYSTEMS INC	2006	Not Found
- AEPTEC MICROSYSTEMS		Not Found
AC, INC.	2007	Not Found
ACCENTURE LLP	2007	Not Found
ACCI AVIATION SERVICES	2004	Not Found
ADREM INC	2006	Not Found
AEROMETALS INC	2005	6/3/03 to 8/18/03
AEROSPATIALE GENERAL AVIA	2003	Not Found
AGE REFINING	2006	Not Found
AGES GROUP	2004	Not Found
AIR LAND FORWARDER INC	2008	Not Found
AKAL SECURITY INC.	2007	Not Found
ALAN FARMER TRUCKING CO., INC	2007	Not Found
ALLIED FREIGHT FOWARDING	2008	Not Found
ALL-STAINLESS	2006	Not Found
ALLSTATE VAN & STORAGE INC	2010	Not Found
AMERICAN MERCHANT TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	2005	Not Found
AMERICAN PLUMBING SPECIALTIES COMPANY, INC.	2004	6/28/02 to 5/16/08
AMERICAN SPECIALTIES PLUMBLING, INC.	2004	Not Found
ANDREAS CHRIST SPEDITION & MOBEL TRANSPORT GMBH	2010	Not Found
APL LIMITED	2009	Not Found
ARMY FLEET SUPPORT, LLC	2010	Not Found
ARPIN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC	2008	Not Found
ASRC CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.	2004	Not Found
ASTROPOWER INC	2001	Not Found
ATLANTIC MOBILE	2000	Not Found
AVANADE	2007	Not Found
AVL INTERNATIONAL TRADING, INC.	2004	Not Found
BALL, BALL & BROSAMER INC	2000	Not Found
BARRDAY INC	2009	Not Found
BECK	2010	Not Found
BELL HELICOPTER TEXTRON INC	2010	Not Found
BIRKART GLOBISTICS GMBH	2009	Not Found
BKC INDUSTRIES	2005	Not Found
BOEING A/C (MCDONNELL, DOUGLAS) RUSSIAN TITANIUM MATTER	2004	Not Found
- MCDONNELL DOUGLAS		Not Found
BOEING AEROSPACE SUPPORT CNTR.	2009	Not Found
BOEING COMPANY	2009	Not Found
"(Boeing Integrated Defense Systems)	2009	Not Found
BONDCOTE CORP	2003	Not Found
BRIDGESTONE CORPORATION	2009	Not Found
BRIDGESTONE INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTS	2009	Not Found
BROOKEHILL FUNERAL HOME	2003	Not Found
BURKE ENGINEERING CO	2005	Not Found
CALIFORNIA MICROWAVE INC	2000	Not Found
CANADIAN COMMERCIAL CORPORATION	2008	Not Found

		EPLS Data -		
	Settlement	Suspension, Proposed		
Defendant/ Entity Name	or Judgment	Debarment or		
	Date	Debarment		
CAPCO PIPE COMP INC	2000	Not Found		
CAROLINA STEVEDORING DBT IN RE	2003	Not Found		
CARTWRIGHT INTERNATIONAL VAN LINES	2006	Not Found		
CAVAL TOOL DIV	2003	Not Found		
CH&A CORP	2003	5/30/02 to 7/16/02		
CHRISTOPHER TOOL & MFG.,INC.	2004	Not Found		
CHROMALLOY GAS TURBINE CORP	2003	Not Found		
CHRYSLER	2006	Not Found		
CIRRUS DESIGN CORPORATION	2003	Not Found		
CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.	2010	Not Found		
CMC ELECTRONICS	2004	Not Found		
COMPLETE METAL WORKS	2000	Not Found		
CONTINENTAL AG	2009	Not Found		
CONTINENTAL CHEMICAL CORP	2004	Not Found		
COVAN INTERNATIONAL INC.	2004	Not Found		
CRANE CO D/B/A CRANE VALVE NORTH AMERICA	2003	Not Found		
- CRANE VALVE NORTH AMERICA	2007	Not Found		
CRANE VALVE CO	2007	Not Found		
CUSTER BATTLES LLC	2010	9/30/04 to 9/29/05		
D&F SERVICES	2007	Not Found		
DATATAPE INC	2000	Not Found		
DAVIDSON'S FABRICATING INC	2003	Not Found		
DEVILS LAKE SIOUX MANUFACTURING	2003	Not Found		
DIGITAL TECHS INC NKA DTI HOLDINGS INC	2000	Not Found		
DUNLOP OIL & MARINE LTD.	2009	5/4/09 to Indef		
DURANGO CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	2003	1/16/03 to 1/15/06		
DYNAMICS CORP OF AMERICA DBA ELLIS & WATTS CO				
(see#73)	2000	Not Found		
DYNAMICS RESEARCH CORP.	2009	Not Found		
EASTMAN KODAK COMP IN RE	2000	Not Found		
EGL EAGLE GLOBAL LOGISTICS, L.P.	2006	Not Found		
ELECTROMECHANICAL SYS, COMSAT, CRSI	2001	12/6/00 to 5/30/01		
ELLIS & WATTS	2003	Not Found		
EMC CORPORATION	2009	Not Found		
ENDRESS-HAUSER SYSTEMS & GAUGING DIV F/K/A COGGINS				
SYSTEMS ET AL	2004	Not Found		
- COGGINS SYSTEMS		Not Found		
ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT CONCEPTS, INC.	2006	Not Found		
ENTERPRISE ELECS CORP	2001	Not Found		
ENVIRONMENTAL ABATEMENT SERVICES INC	2004	Not Found		
ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT, INC.	2007	Not Found		
ESICORP	2002	Not Found		
FAIRCHILD SEMICONDUCTOR CORP.	2009	Not Found		
FARMERS' RICE CO-OP	2000	Not Found		
FCA CLAIMS PRE-FILING & CDA/FORFEITURE COUNTERCLAIMS IN CLAIMS COURT ACTION	2003	Not Found		
- JOHNSON CONTROLS WORLD SERVICES		Not Found		
FEL CORP	2007	Not Found		
FIFECO, INC.	2007	Not Found		
FLUOR, DANIEL INC	2008	Not Found		
FLOOR, DANIEL INC	2001	Not Found		
FMC CORP	2001	Not Found		
	2001	HOL FOUND		

		EPLS Data -		
	Settlement	Suspension, Proposed		
Defendant/ Entity Name	or Judgment	Debarment or		
	Date	Debarment		
FORCE PROTECTION INC F/K/A TECHNICAL SOLUTIONS GROUP	2006	Not Found		
ET AL	2000			
- TECHNICAL SOLUTIONS GROUP		Not Found		
FREEDOM NY, INC.	2007	Not Found		
FURUNO ELECTRIC CO, LTD	2007	Not Found		
FURUNO HONG KONG LTD	2010	Not Found		
FURUNO SHANGHAI CO. LTD	2010	Not Found		
FURUNO SOFTECH CO. LTD	2010	Not Found		
FURUNO USA INC.	2010	Not Found		
GAB BUS SERVICE INC ET AL	2003	Not Found		
GALAXY PLCR LOWELL DALE	2001	Not Found		
GALE	2009	Not Found		
GATOR HAWK ARMOR INC	2007	Not Found		
GENERAL DYNAMICS	2006	Not Found		
GENERAL DYNAMICS ARMAMENT & TECHNICAL PRODUCTS INC ET AL	2008	Not Found		
GENERAL ELECTRIC CO	2000	Not Found		
GLOBAL WORLDWIDE	2008	Not Found		
GOLD LEAF DISTRIBUTION COMPANY	2005	Not Found		
GOODYEAR TIRE & RUBBER COMPANY	2006	Not Found		
GOVT BUSINESS SERVICES GROUP LLC	2006	Not Found		
GRAFIL INC.	2005	Not Found		
GREAT EASTERN SHIPPING INC	2001	1/11/02 to 4/27/04		
GRUMMAN LA CORP ET AL	2000	Not Found		
GULF AGENCY CO	2009	Not Found		
HANDY & HARMAN INC	2001	Not Found		
HARRIS CORP	2001	Not Found		
HARSCO CORP	2000	Not Found		
HAWKINS & POWERS	2002	Not Found		
HAWPE CONST INC	2002	Not Found		
HENSEL PHELPS CONSTRUCTION CO	2000	Not Found		
HERLEY INDUSTRIES	2001	6/26 to 8/15/07		
HERLET HOUST RES	2000	Not Found		
HEXCEL CORP.,	2010	Not Found		
HILBORN-HAMBURGER INC	2010	9/29/99 to 9/30/02		
HILLCO MANUFACTURING CO	2007	12/20/07 to 10/25/12		
HONEYWELL INTNL INC	2002	Not Found		
HUNT VALLEY	2006	Not Found		
HUNT VALUE I HUNT VALVE COMPANY, INC.	2006	Not Found		
HYDROFORM USA	2000	8/29/03 to 12/22/04		
HYSITRON INC	2004	Not Found		
INTEGRAL LOGISTICS CORP.	2002	9/20/98 to 9/29/01		
INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES, INC.	2002	3/27/08 to 4/3/08		
INTER-WORLD FORWARDERS INC	2007	Not Found		
		Not Found		
ITO MOBEL TRANSPORT GMBH I&I MAINTENANCE INC.	2009 2000	Not Found		
J&J MAINTENANCE INC. JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP INC	2000	Not Found		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
JET CONSTRUCTION INC. INCNY	2003	1/16/03 to 10/9/03		
JET FORWARDING INC	2008	Not Found		
KAYDON CORP	2001	Not Found		
KLOKE ENTERPRISES INC	2006	Not Found		
KLOKE MOVERS INC	2006	Not Found		
L S STARRETT CO	2004	Not Found		

		EPLS Data -		
	Settlement	Suspension, Proposed		
Defendant/ Entity Name	or Judgment	Debarment or		
	Date	Debarment		
L-3 COMMUNICATIONS CORP.	2008	Not Found		
L-3 VERTEX AEROSPACE LLC F/K/A VERTEX AEROSPACE INC	2008	Not Found		
ET AL	2008			
- VERTEX AEROSPACE		Not Found		
LAWRENCE LIVERMORE LAB	2004	Not Found		
LEAR SEIGLER MANAGEMENT SERVICES	2004	Not Found		
LEAR SIEGLER MGT SERVICES CORP ET AL	2004	Not Found		
LEAR SIEGLER SERVICES, INC	2000	Not Found		
LEO BURNETT, USA, INC.	2009	Not Found		
LIBBY INTNL	2000	9/28/08 to 8/11/01		
LINCOLN FABRICS LTD	2010	Not Found		
LITHIUMPOWER TECHNOLOGIES	2008	8/19/08 to 3/26/18		
LITTON GUIDANCE & CONTROL SYSTEM DIV	2002	Not Found		
LITTON INDUSTRIES INC	2002	Not Found		
LITTON SYSTEM INC	2002	Not Found		
LITTON SYSTEMS INC	2010	Not Found		
LOCKHEED MARTIN CORP	2000	Not Found		
LOCKHEED SANDERS	2000	Not Found		
LOE'S HIGHPORT INC	2000	10/1/99 to 10/18/00		
LOGISTIK UND SERVICE KG	2009	Not Found		
LOGWIN AG	2010	Not Found		
LOVERING-JOHNSON INC	2002	Not Found		
L-T ENTERPRISES, INC.	2000	Not Found		
MANTECH ADVANCED SYSTEMS INTNL INC ET AL	2009	Not Found		
MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY, INC.	2009	Not Found		
MARINE FENDERS INTERNATIONAL, INC.	2009	8/24/06 to 3/5/07		
MARTIN MARIETTA CORP	2003	Not Found		
MATERIALS & ELECTROCHEMICAL RESEARCH CORP.	2008	11/12/08 to 12/23/08		
MAXWELL LAB ET AL	2000	Not Found		
MAXWELL-SIERRA CAPACITOR & FILTER DIV	2000	Not Found		
MCC CONST CORP	2002	Not Found		
MCDONNELL DOUGLAS HELICOPTER SYS	2003	Not Found		
MERO HOME IMPROVEMENT INC.	2000	Not Found		
MIDDLE EAST LEASING ET AL	2010	5/12/06 to 3/16/09		
MILAN ARSENAL INVESTIGATIONS	2000	Not Found		
MITSUBISHI RAYON CO. LTD.	2005	Not Found		
MONEY MOVERS INC.	2005	Not Found		
MORIN, JEFFREYTURING CO	2002	Not Found		
MORITZ EMBROIDERY WORKS, INC.	2007	8/23/07 to 8/1/10		
MORPAC INC.	2006	Not Found		
MORRISON KNUDSEN CORP	2005	Not Found		
MOTOROLA INC.	2006	Not Found		
MPC INC	2009	7/8/09 to 10/7/09		
MULTISERVICE JOINT VENTURE LLC	2009	Not Found		
N AMERICA PIPE CORP	2000	Not Found		
NATIONAL AIR CARGO, INC.	2008	5/21 to 7/24/08		
NATIONAL COMPRESSOR EXCHANGE, INC.	2008	2/18/09 to 2/17/12		
NATL ACADEMY OF SCIENCE	2008	Not Found		
NEWPORT ADHESIVES AND COMPOSITS	2005	Not Found		
NEWPORT NEWS SHIPBUILDING	2003	Not Found		
NEWPORT NEWS SHIPBLDG & DRY DOCK CO	2003	Not Found		
NGNN	2006	Not Found		
NOL GROUP	2009	Not Found		

		EPLS Data -
	Settlement	Suspension, Proposed
Defendant/ Entity Name	or Judgment	Debarment or
	Date	Debarment
NORTH AMERICAN CONSTRUCTION CORP	2003	2/7/02 to 7/18/02
NORTH AMERICAN VAN LINES	2008	Not Found
NORTHROP CORP	2000	Not Found
NORTHROP GRUMMAN CORP	2000	Not Found
NORTHROP GRUMMAN SYSTEMS CORP	2010	Not Found
O'GARA-HESS & EISENHARDT ARMORING	2000	Not Found
OGDEN, UTAH (CONVERSION CLAIM) CITY OF OGDEN, UT	2006	Not Found
ONIZUKA CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT JOINT VENTURE	2005	Not Found
PANALPINA INC	2003	Not Found
PARSONS COMP	2010	Not Found
PARSONS ENGINEERING SCIENCE INC ET AL	2007	Not Found
PARSONS ENGINEERING SCIENCE INC ET AL	2007	3/4/04 to 4/16/04
PB FASTENERS	2005	Not Found
PEAVY, GRADY JR.R. INC.	2003	Not Found
PEI ELECTRONICS	2003	Not Found
PEMCO AEROPLEX	2002	Not Found
PHOENIX AG	2001	
PHOENIX AG PHOTON RESEARCH ASSOCIATES INC	2009	Not Found Not Found
PI CONST CORP	2004	
PLUMMER PRECISION OPTICS COMP PTE LTD		Not Found
	2001 2005	Not Found
PORTERSVILLE SALES & TESTING		Not Found
	2008	10/31/00 to 5/2/05
PRATT & WHITNEY	2008	Not Found
PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS, LLP	2007	Not Found
PRIME SUCCESSIONS INC.	2003	Not Found
PROTECTIVE PRODUCTS INTNL INC	2008	Not Found
PSI TRONIX INC ET AL	2000	Not Found
RAYTHEON AIRCRAFT CO INC	2002	Not Found
RAYTHEON ENGINEERS	2000	Not Found
READINESS MANAGEMENT SUPPORT LC	2009	Not Found
REGENTS O/T UNIVERSITY OF CA	2004	Not Found
RJO ENTERPRISES INC	2003	Not Found
RYAN'S WORLD INC	2006	10/19/06 to 11/2/06
SAFETY-KLEEN	2002	Not Found
SAUCEDO, LOUIE JR. INC.	2003	Not Found
SCIENCE & APPLIED TECHNOLOGY INC (SAT)	2003	Not Found
SCIENCE & ENGINEERING ASSOC INC	2005	Not Found
SCIENCE AND APPLIED TECHNOLOGY, INC	2004	4/8/03 to 3/31/04; 12/22/04 to 4/7/09
SCIENCE APPLICATIONS INTNL CORP	2005	Not Found
SEA INFORMATION SERVICES INC	2005	Not Found
SEABOARD SURETY COMP ET AL	2000	Not Found
SEAWARD HOLDINGS, INC.	2009	Not Found
SECURE GLOBAL DISTRIBUTION	2010	9/30/04 to 9/29/05, 5/12/06 to 3/16/09
SEQUOIA TOOL INC.	2003	3/9/01 to 6/27/03
SERUCE, KG	2010	Not Found
SHINWHA ELECTRONICS CORP	2005	Not Found
SIGNAL COMMUN SYS & SUPPLY INC	2003	Not Found
CERADYNE AIRCRAFT CORP.	2010	Not Found
SIKORSKY AIRCRAFT CO.	2009	Not Found
SIOUX MANUFACTURING CORPORATION	2007	Not Found
SPARTAN, CHASIS	2008	Not Found
	2000	not rouliu

Defendant/ Entity NameSettlement or Judgment DateSuspension, Propose Debarment or Debarment or Debarmentor Debarment or Debarment o			EPLS Data -
Detendant/Entry NameDot Judgment DateDebarment or Debarment or DebarmentSPECO CORP2000Not FoundSPIRIT LAKE DAKOTA SIOUX2007Not FoundSPIRIT LAKE NATION2007Not FoundSPIRIT LAKE NATION2007Not FoundSPIRIT LAKE TRIBE A/K/A SPIRIT LAKE NATION ET AL2007Not FoundSPIRIT LAKE TRIBE A/K/A SPIRIT LAKE NATION ET AL2007Not FoundSTANDARD MANACING GEN AGCY INC ET AL2002Not FoundSTRANDELE D IV OF MD SPECIALTY WIRE INC2001Not FoundSWEPCO TUBE CORP20055/6/05 to 10/3/07SYSTRAN CORP ET AL2002Not FoundSWEPCO TUBE CORP2002Not FoundTABER METALS2002Not FoundTBM, INC.2002Not FoundTECH RESOURCES INC2000Not FoundTELESIS TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION20105/26/09 to 3/1/04TELESIS TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION20105/26/09 to 3/1/04TELESIS TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION2006Not FoundTELESIS TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION2006Not FoundTHELL LOGISTIK AG2009Not FoundTOHO TEMAX AMERICA2009Not FoundTOHO TEMAX AMERICA2009Not FoundTOHO TEMAX AMERICA2009Not FoundTOHO TEMAX AMERICA2009Not FoundTOHOTEMAX AMERICA20095/25/07 to 5/18/09TRULEBORG, AB20095/25/07 to 5/18/09TRULEBORG CENGINEERD SYSTEMS20095/25/07 to 5/18/09<		Settlement	
DateDebarmentSPECO CORP2000Not FoundSPIRIT LAKE DAKOTA SIOUX2007Not FoundSPIRIT LAKE NATION2007Not FoundSPIRIT LAKE NATION2007Not FoundSPIRIT LAKE SIOUX TRIBE2007Not FoundSPIRIT LAKE SIOUX TRIBE2007Not FoundSPIRIT LAKE TRIBE A/K/A SPIRIT LAKE NATION ET AL2007Not FoundSTANDARD MANAGING GEN AGCY INC ET AL2002Not FoundSTONEBRIDGE PARTNERS2004Not FoundSTONEBRIDGE PARTNERS2004Not FoundSWEPCO TUBE CORP20055/6/05 to 10/3/07SYSTRAN CORP ET AL2002Not FoundTABER EXTRUSION ET AL2002Not FoundTABER EXTRUSION ET AL2002Not FoundTECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT GROUP INC2002Not FoundTECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT GROUP INC200411/26/03 to 3/1/04TELEPHONICS CORP2000Not FoundTELESIS TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION20105/26/09 to 8/22/15TEMPERFORM USA2006Not FoundTHELESIST ECHNOLOGY CORPORATION2005Not FoundTOHO TEMAX AMERICA2005Not FoundTOHO TEMAX AMERICA2009Not	Defendant/ Entity Name	U U	
SPIRIT LAKE DAKOTA SIOUX2007Not FoundSPIRIT LAKE NATION2007Not FoundSPIRIT LAKE NATION2007Not FoundSPIRIT LAKE TRIBE2007Not FoundSPIRIT LAKE TRIBE A/K/A SPIRIT LAKE NATION ET AL2007Not FoundSPIRIT LAKE TRIBE2007Not FoundSTANDARD MANAGING GEN AGCY INC ET AL2002Not FoundSTONEBRIDGE PARTNERS2004Not FoundSTONEBRIDGE PARTNERS2001Not FoundSTRANDFLEX DIV OF MD SPECIALTY WIRE INC2001Not FoundSWEPCO TUBE CORP20055/6/05 to 10/3/07SYSTRAN CORP ET AL2002Not FoundTABER EXTRUSION ET AL2002Not FoundTABER METALS2002Not FoundTECH RESOURCES INC2000Not FoundTECH RESOURCES INC2000Not FoundTELEPHONICS CORP2000Not FoundTELEPHONICS CORP2000Not FoundTELEPHONICS CORP2000Not FoundTETRA TECH INC.2006Not FoundTHEL LOGISTIK AG2009Not FoundTOHO TEMAX AMERICA2005Not FoundTOHO TEMAX AMERICA2005Not FoundTORAY COMPOSITES2008Not FoundTORAY COMPOSITES2008Not FoundTORAY COMPOSITES20095/25/07 to 5/18/09TRULLEBORG, AB20095/25/07 to 5/18/09TRULEBORG, AB2009Not FoundTRULLEBORG, AB2005Not FoundTRUNC2003<		Date	
SPIRIT LAKE NATION2007Not FoundSPIRIT LAKE SIOUX TRIBE2007Not FoundSPIRIT LAKE SIOUX TRIBE2007Not FoundSPIRIT LAKE TRIBE A/K/A SPIRIT LAKE NATION ET AL2007Not FoundSPIRIT LAKE TRIBE2002Not FoundSTANDARD MANAGING GEN AGCY INC ET AL2002Not FoundSTONEBRIDGE PARTNERS2004Not FoundSTONEBRIDGE PARTNERS2001Not FoundSTRANDFLEX DIV OF MD SPECIALTY WIRE INC2000Not FoundSWEPCO TUBE CORP20055/6/05 to 10/3/07SYSTRAN CORP ET AL2000Not FoundTABER EXTRUSION ET AL2002Not FoundTABER METALS2002Not FoundTBM, INC.2002Not FoundTECH RESOURCES INC2000Not FoundTELENSURCES CORP2000Not FoundTELESIS TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION20105/26/09 to 8/22/15TEMPERFORM USA20049/29/03 to 11/4/10TETRA TECH INC.2005Not FoundTOHO TEMAXAMERICA2005Not FoundTOHO TEMAXAMERICA2005Not FoundTOHO TEMAXAMERICA2005Not FoundTOUCHSTONE RESEARCH LABORATORY, LTD.2008Not FoundTRELLEBORG CAGE ENGINEERED SYSTEMS20095/25/07 to 5/18/09TRELLEBORG CAGE2005Not FoundTRUNDH GROUP OPERATIONS INC D/B/A A BIEDERMAN INC2005Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2005Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2005No	SPECO CORP	2000	
SPIRIT LAKE SIOUX TRIBE2007Not FoundSPIRIT LAKE TRIBE A/K/A SPIRIT LAKE NATION ET AL2007Not FoundSPIRIT LAKE TRIBE2007Not FoundSTANDARD MANAGING GEN AGCY INC ET AL2002Not FoundSTONEBIDGE PARTNERS2004Not FoundSTRANDFLEX DIV OF MD SPECIALTY WIRE INC2001Not FoundSWEPCO TUBE CORP20055/6/05 to 10/3/07SYSTRAN CORP ET AL2000Not FoundTABER METALS2002Not FoundTBM, INC.2002Not FoundTECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT GROUP INC2000Not FoundTELEPHONICS CORP2000Not FoundTELEPHONICS CORP2000Not FoundTELEPHONICS CORP2000Not FoundTELESIS TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION20105/26/09 to 8/22/15TEMPERFORM USA20049/29/03 to 11/4/10TETRA TECH INC.2005Not FoundTOHO TEMAX AMERICA2005Not FoundTOHO TEMAXCO., LTD2008Not FoundTOUCHSTONE RESEARCH LABORATORY, LTD.2008Not FoundTRELLEBORG ENGINEERED SYSTEMS20095/25/07 to 5/18/09TRULDEBORG, AB20095/25/07 to 5/18/09TRULDEBORG, AB2005Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2005Not Found </td <td>SPIRIT LAKE DAKOTA SIOUX</td> <td>2007</td> <td>Not Found</td>	SPIRIT LAKE DAKOTA SIOUX	2007	Not Found
SPIRIT LAKE TRIBE A/K/A SPIRIT LAKE NATION ET AL2007Not FoundSPIRIT LAKE TRIBE2007Not FoundSTANDARD MANAGING GEN AGCY INC ET AL2002Not FoundSTONEBRIDGE PARTNERS2004Not FoundSTRANDFLEX DIV OF MD SPECIALTY WIRE INC2001Not FoundSWEPCO TUBE CORP20055/6/05 to 10/3/07SYSTRAN CORP ET AL2000Not FoundTABER EXTRUSION ET AL2002Not FoundTABER METALS2002Not FoundTECH RESOURCES INC2000Not FoundTELEPHONICS CORP2000Not FoundTELEPHONICS CORP2000Not FoundTELESIS TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION20105/26/09 to 8/22/15TEMPERFORM USA20049/29/03 to 11/4/10TETRA TECH INC.2005Not FoundTHILL LOGISTIK AG2005Not FoundTOHO TEMAXCO, LTD2008Not FoundTORAY COMPOSITES2008Not FoundTORAY COMPOSITES2009S/25/07 to 5/18/09TRUELEBORG ENGINEERED SYSTEMS2009S/25/07 to 5/18/09TRUMPH GROUP OPERATIONS INC D/B/A A BIEDERMAN INC2003Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2005Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE <td< td=""><td>SPIRIT LAKE NATION</td><td>2007</td><td>Not Found</td></td<>	SPIRIT LAKE NATION	2007	Not Found
SPIRIT LAKE TRIBE2007Not FoundSTANDARD MANAGING GEN AGCY INC ET AL2002Not FoundSTONEBRIDGE PARTNERS2004Not FoundSTRANDFLEX DIV OF MD SPECIALTY WIRE INC2001Not FoundSWEPCO TUBE CORP20055/6/05 to 10/3/07SYSTRAN CORP ET AL2000Not FoundTABER EXTRUSION ET AL2002Not FoundTABER METALS2002Not FoundTECH RESOURCES INC2000Not FoundTELEPHONICS CORP2000Not FoundTELEPHONICS CORP2000Not FoundTELEPHONICS CORP2000Not FoundTELESIS TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION20105/26/09 to 8/22/15TEMPERFORM USA2006Not FoundTHIEL LOGISTIK AG2005Not FoundTOHO TEMAXAMERICA2005Not FoundTORAY COMPOSITES2008Not FoundTORAY COMPOSITES2009S/25/07 to 5/18/09TRELEBORG ENGINEERED SYSTEMS2009S/25/07 to 5/18/09TRULMPH GROUP OPERATIONS INC D/B/A A BIEDERMAN INC2005Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2005Not Found <td>SPIRIT LAKE SIOUX TRIBE</td> <td>2007</td> <td>Not Found</td>	SPIRIT LAKE SIOUX TRIBE	2007	Not Found
STANDARD MANAGING GEN AGCY INC ET AL2002Not FoundSTANDARD MANAGING GEN AGCY INC ET AL2004Not FoundSTONEBRIDGE PARTNERS2004Not FoundSTRANDFLEX DIV OF MD SPECIALTY WIRE INC2001Not FoundSWEPCO TUBE CORP20055/6/05 to 10/3/07SYSTRAN CORP ET AL2000Not FoundTABER EXTRUSION ET AL2002Not FoundTBM, INC.2002Not FoundTECH RESOURCES INC2000Not FoundTELEPHONICS CORP2000Not FoundTELEPHONICS CORP2000Not FoundTETRA TECH INC.200411/26/03 to 3/1/04TELEPHONICS CORP2000Not FoundTETRA TECH INC.20049/29/03 to 11/4/10TETRA TECH INC.2009Not FoundTHIEL LOGISTIK AG2005Not FoundTOHO TEMAXAMERICA2005Not FoundTOUCHSTONE RESEARCH LABORATORY, LTD.2008Not FoundTRELLEBORG ENGINEERED SYSTEMS20095/25/07 to 5/18/09TRULMPH GROUP OPERATIONS INC D/B/A A BIEDERMAN INC2005Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2005Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2005 <td>SPIRIT LAKE TRIBE A/K/A SPIRIT LAKE NATION ET AL</td> <td>2007</td> <td>Not Found</td>	SPIRIT LAKE TRIBE A/K/A SPIRIT LAKE NATION ET AL	2007	Not Found
STONEBRIDGE PARTNERS2004Not FoundSTRANDFLEX DIV OF MD SPECIALTY WIRE INC2001Not FoundSWEPCO TUBE CORP20055/6/05 to 10/3/07SYSTRAN CORP ET AL2000Not FoundTABER EXTRUSION ET AL2002Not FoundTABER METALS2002Not FoundTBM, INC.2002Not FoundTECH RESOURCES INC2000Not FoundTELEPHONICS CORP2000Not FoundTELESIS TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION20105/26/09 to 8/22/15TEMPERFORM USA20049/29/03 to 11/4/10TETRA TECH INC.2006Not FoundTHIEL LOGISTIK AG2005Not FoundTOHO TEMAX AMERICA2005Not FoundTOHO TEMAX CO., LTD2008Not FoundTORAY COMPOSITES2008Not FoundTOUCHSTONE RESEARCH LABORATORY, LTD.2008Not FoundTRELEBORG ENGINEERED SYSTEMS20095/25/07 to 5/18/09TRELLEBORG, AB20095/25/07 to 5/18/09TRUMPH GROUP OPERATIONS INC D/B/A A BIEDERMAN INC2005Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2005Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2005Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2005Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2000Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2005Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2005Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2005Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2005Not Found </td <td>SPIRIT LAKE TRIBE</td> <td>2007</td> <td>Not Found</td>	SPIRIT LAKE TRIBE	2007	Not Found
STRANDFLEX DIV OF MD SPECIALTY WIRE INC2001Not FoundSWEPCO TUBE CORP20055/6/05 to 10/3/07SYSTRAN CORP ET AL2000Not FoundTABER EXTRUSION ET AL2002Not FoundTABER METALS2002Not FoundTBM, INC.2002Not FoundTECH RESOURCES INC2000Not FoundTELEHONICS CORP2000Not FoundTELESIS TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION20105/26/09 to 8/22/15TEMPERFORM USA20049/29/03 to 11/4/10TETRA TECH INC.2006Not FoundTHIEL LOGISTIK AG2005Not FoundTOHO TEMAXCO, LTD2005Not FoundTOHO TEMAXCO, LTD2008Not FoundTORAY COMPOSITES2009S/25/07 to 5/18/09TRELEBORG ENGINEERED SYSTEMS20095/25/07 to 5/18/09TRELEBORG, AB20095/25/07 to 5/18/09TRELEBORG, AB2003Not FoundTRUMPH GROUP OPERATIONS INC D/B/A A BIEDERMAN INC2005Not FoundTWINC2003Not FoundTRUNCR2005Not FoundTRUNPH GROUP OPERATIONS INC D/B/A A BIEDERMAN INC2005Not FoundTWINC2005Not FoundTRUNPH GROUP OPERATIONS INC D/B/A A BIEDERMAN INC2005Not FoundTWINC2005Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2005Not FoundTWINC2005Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2005Not FoundTWINC2005Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE </td <td>STANDARD MANAGING GEN AGCY INC ET AL</td> <td>2002</td> <td>Not Found</td>	STANDARD MANAGING GEN AGCY INC ET AL	2002	Not Found
SWEPCO TUBE CORP20055/6/05 to 10/3/07SYSTRAN CORP ET AL2000Not FoundTABER EXTRUSION ET AL2002Not FoundTABER METALS2002Not FoundTBM, INC.2002Not FoundTECH RESOURCES INC2000Not FoundTELEPHONLOGY DEVELOPMENT GROUP INC200411/26/03 to 3/1/04TELEPHONICS CORP2000Not FoundTELEPHONICS CORP2000Not FoundTETRA TECH INC.20049/29/03 to 11/4/10TETRA TECH INC.2006Not FoundTHELL LOGISTIK AG2009Not FoundTOHO TEMAX AMERICA2005Not FoundTOUCHSTONE RESEARCH LABORATORY, LTD.2008Not FoundTRELLEBORG ENGINEERED SYSTEMS20095/25/07 to 5/18/09TRIUMPH GROUP OPERATIONS INC D/B/A A BIEDERMAN INC2005Not FoundTWINC2003Not FoundTWINC2003Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2005Not FoundTX INSTRUMENTS CORP2000Not Found	STONEBRIDGE PARTNERS	2004	Not Found
SYSTRAN CORP ET AL2000Not FoundTABER EXTRUSION ET AL2002Not FoundTABER METALS2002Not FoundTBM, INC.2002Not FoundTECH RESOURCES INC2000Not FoundTECH RESOURCES INC200411/26/03 to 3/1/04TELEPHONICS CORP2000Not FoundTELESIS TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION20105/26/09 to 8/22/15TEMPERFORM USA20049/29/03 to 11/4/10TETRA TECH INC.2006Not FoundTHIEL LOGISTIK AG2009Not FoundTOHO TEMAX AMERICA2005Not FoundTOUCHSTONE RESEARCH LABORATORY, LTD.2008Not FoundTRELLEBORG AB20095/25/07 to 5/18/09TRIUMPH GROUP OPERATIONS INC D/B/A A BIEDERMAN INC2005Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2005Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2005Not FoundTX INSTRUMENTS CORP2000Not Found	STRANDFLEX DIV OF MD SPECIALTY WIRE INC	2001	Not Found
TABER EXTRUSION ET AL2002Not FoundTABER METALS2002Not FoundTBM, INC.2002Not FoundTECH RESOURCES INC2000Not FoundTECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT GROUP INC200411/26/03 to 3/1/04TELEPHONICS CORP2000Not FoundTELESIS TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION20105/26/09 to 8/22/15TEMPERFORM USA20049/29/03 to 11/4/10TETRA TECH INC.2006Not FoundTHIEL LOGISTIK AG2009Not FoundTOHO TEMAX AMERICA2005Not FoundTOUCHSTONE RESEARCH LABORATORY, LTD.2008Not FoundTRELLEBORG ENGINEERED SYSTEMS20095/25/07 to 5/18/09TRIUMPH GROUP OPERATIONS INC D/B/A A BIEDERMAN INC2005Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2005Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2005Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2005Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2005Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2000Not FoundTX INSTRUMENTS CORP2000Not Found	SWEPCO TUBE CORP	2005	5/6/05 to 10/3/07
TABER METALS2002Not FoundTBM, INC.2002Not FoundTECH RESOURCES INC2000Not FoundTECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT GROUP INC200411/26/03 to 3/1/04TELEPHONICS CORP2000Not FoundTELESIS TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION20105/26/09 to 8/22/15TEMPERFORM USA20049/29/03 to 11/4/10TETRA TECH INC.2006Not FoundTHIEL LOGISTIK AG2009Not FoundTOHO TEMAX AMERICA2005Not FoundTOUCHSTONE RESEARCH LABORATORY, LTD.2008Not FoundTRELLEBORG ENGINEERED SYSTEMS20095/25/07 to 5/18/09TRIUMPH GROUP OPERATIONS INC D/B/A A BIEDERMAN INC2005Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2005Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2000Not FoundTX INSTRUMENTS CORP2000Not Found	SYSTRAN CORP ET AL	2000	Not Found
TBM, INC.2002Not FoundTECH RESOURCES INC2000Not FoundTECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT GROUP INC200411/26/03 to 3/1/04TELEPHONICS CORP2000Not FoundTELESIS TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION20105/26/09 to 8/22/15TEMPERFORM USA20049/29/03 to 11/4/10TETRA TECH INC.2006Not FoundTHIEL LOGISTIK AG2009Not FoundTOHO TEMAX AMERICA2005Not FoundTORAY COMPOSITES2008Not FoundTRELLEBORG ENGINEERED SYSTEMS20095/25/07 to 5/18/09TRILLEBORG, AB20095/25/07 to 5/18/09TRIUMPH GROUP OPERATIONS INC D/B/A A BIEDERMAN INC2005Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2005Not FoundTX INSTRUMENTS CORP2000Not Found	TABER EXTRUSION ET AL	2002	Not Found
TECH RESOURCES INC2000Not FoundTECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT GROUP INC200411/26/03 to 3/1/04TELEPHONICS CORP2000Not FoundTELESIS TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION20105/26/09 to 8/22/15TEMPERFORM USA20049/29/03 to 11/4/10TETRA TECH INC.2006Not FoundTHIEL LOGISTIK AG2009Not FoundTOHO TEMAX AMERICA2005Not FoundTOHO TEMAXCO., LTD2008Not FoundTOUCHSTONE RESEARCH LABORATORY, LTD.2008Not FoundTRELLEBORG ENGINEERED SYSTEMS20095/25/07 to 5/18/09TRIUMPH GROUP OPERATIONS INC D/B/A A BIEDERMAN INC2005Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2005Not FoundTX INSTRUMENTS CORP2000Not Found	TABER METALS	2002	Not Found
TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT GROUP INC200411/26/03 to 3/1/04TELEPHONICS CORP2000Not FoundTELESIS TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION20105/26/09 to 8/22/15TEMPERFORM USA20049/29/03 to 11/4/10TETRA TECH INC.2006Not FoundTHIEL LOGISTIK AG2009Not FoundTOHO TEMAX AMERICA2005Not FoundTOHO TEMAXCO., LTD2008Not FoundTOUCHSTONE RESEARCH LABORATORY, LTD.2008Not FoundTRELLEBORG ENGINEERED SYSTEMS20095/25/07 to 5/18/09TRIUMPH GROUP OPERATIONS INC D/B/A A BIEDERMAN INC2005Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2005Not FoundTX INSTRUMENTS CORP2000Not Found	TBM, INC.	2002	Not Found
TELEPHONICS CORP2000Not FoundTELESIS TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION20105/26/09 to 8/22/15TEMPERFORM USA20049/29/03 to 11/4/10TETRA TECH INC.2006Not FoundTHIEL LOGISTIK AG2009Not FoundTOHO TEMAX AMERICA2005Not FoundTOHO TEMAXCO., LTD2005Not FoundTOUCHSTONE RESEARCH LABORATORY, LTD.2008Not FoundTRELLEBORG ENGINEERED SYSTEMS20095/25/07 to 5/18/09TRIUMPH GROUP OPERATIONS INC D/B/A A BIEDERMAN INC2005Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2005Not FoundTX INSTRUMENTS CORP2000Not Found	TECH RESOURCES INC	2000	Not Found
TELESIS TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION20105/26/09 to 8/22/15TEMPERFORM USA20049/29/03 to 11/4/10TETRA TECH INC.2006Not FoundTHIEL LOGISTIK AG2009Not FoundTOHO TEMAX AMERICA2005Not FoundTOHO TEMAXCO., LTD2005Not FoundTORAY COMPOSITES2008Not FoundTOUCHSTONE RESEARCH LABORATORY, LTD.2008Not FoundTRELLEBORG ENGINEERED SYSTEMS20095/25/07 to 5/18/09TRIUMPH GROUP OPERATIONS INC D/B/A A BIEDERMAN INC2005Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2005Not FoundTX INSTRUMENTS CORP2000Not Found	TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT GROUP INC	2004	11/26/03 to 3/1/04
TEMPERFORM USA20049/29/03 to 11/4/10TETRA TECH INC.2006Not FoundTHIEL LOGISTIK AG2009Not FoundTOHO TEMAX AMERICA2005Not FoundTOHO TEMAXCO., LTD2005Not FoundTORAY COMPOSITES2008Not FoundTOUCHSTONE RESEARCH LABORATORY, LTD.2008Not FoundTRELLEBORG ENGINEERED SYSTEMS20095/25/07 to 5/18/09TRIUMPH GROUP OPERATIONS INC D/B/A A BIEDERMAN INC2005Not FoundTW INC2003Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2005Not FoundTX INSTRUMENTS CORP2000Not Found	TELEPHONICS CORP	2000	Not Found
TETRA TECH INC.2006Not FoundTHIEL LOGISTIK AG2009Not FoundTOHO TEMAX AMERICA2005Not FoundTOHO TEMAXCO., LTD2005Not FoundTORAY COMPOSITES2008Not FoundTOUCHSTONE RESEARCH LABORATORY, LTD.2008Not FoundTRELLEBORG ENGINEERED SYSTEMS20095/25/07 to 5/18/09TRIUMPH GROUP OPERATIONS INC D/B/A A BIEDERMAN INC2005Not FoundTW INC2003Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2005Not FoundTX INSTRUMENTS CORP2000Not Found	TELESIS TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION	2010	5/26/09 to 8/22/15
THIEL LOGISTIK AG2009Not FoundTOHO TEMAX AMERICA2005Not FoundTOHO TEMAXCO., LTD2005Not FoundTORAY COMPOSITES2008Not FoundTOUCHSTONE RESEARCH LABORATORY, LTD.2008Not FoundTRELLEBORG ENGINEERED SYSTEMS20095/25/07 to 5/18/09TRIUMPH GROUP OPERATIONS INC D/B/A A BIEDERMAN INC2005Not FoundTW INC2003Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2005Not FoundTX INSTRUMENTS CORP2000Not Found	TEMPERFORM USA	2004	9/29/03 to 11/4/10
TOHO TEMAX AMERICA2005Not FoundTOHO TEMAXCO., LTD2005Not FoundTORAY COMPOSITES2008Not FoundTOUCHSTONE RESEARCH LABORATORY, LTD.2008Not FoundTRELLEBORG ENGINEERED SYSTEMS20095/25/07 to 5/18/09TRELLEBORG, AB20095/25/07 to 5/18/09TRIUMPH GROUP OPERATIONS INC D/B/A A BIEDERMAN INC2005Not FoundTRW INC2003Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2005Not FoundTX INSTRUMENTS CORP2000Not Found	TETRA TECH INC.	2006	Not Found
TOHO TEMAXCO., LTD2005Not FoundTORAY COMPOSITES2008Not FoundTOUCHSTONE RESEARCH LABORATORY, LTD.2008Not FoundTRELLEBORG ENGINEERED SYSTEMS20095/25/07 to 5/18/09TRELLEBORG, AB20095/25/07 to 5/18/09TRIUMPH GROUP OPERATIONS INC D/B/A A BIEDERMAN INC2005Not FoundTRW INC2003Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2005Not FoundTX INSTRUMENTS CORP2000Not Found	THIEL LOGISTIK AG	2009	Not Found
TORAY COMPOSITES2008Not FoundTOUCHSTONE RESEARCH LABORATORY, LTD.2008Not FoundTRELLEBORG ENGINEERED SYSTEMS20095/25/07 to 5/18/09TRELLEBORG, AB20095/25/07 to 5/18/09TRIUMPH GROUP OPERATIONS INC D/B/A A BIEDERMAN INC2005Not FoundTRW INC2003Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2005Not FoundTX INSTRUMENTS CORP2000Not Found	TOHO TEMAX AMERICA	2005	Not Found
TOUCHSTONE RESEARCH LABORATORY, LTD.2008Not FoundTRELLEBORG ENGINEERED SYSTEMS20095/25/07 to 5/18/09TRELLEBORG, AB20095/25/07 to 5/18/09TRIUMPH GROUP OPERATIONS INC D/B/A A BIEDERMAN INC2005Not FoundTRW INC2003Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2005Not FoundTX INSTRUMENTS CORP2000Not Found	TOHO TEMAXCO., LTD	2005	Not Found
TRELLEBORG ENGINEERED SYSTEMS20095/25/07 to 5/18/09TRELLEBORG, AB20095/25/07 to 5/18/09TRIUMPH GROUP OPERATIONS INC D/B/A A BIEDERMAN INC2005Not FoundTRW INC2003Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2005Not FoundTX INSTRUMENTS CORP2000Not Found	TORAY COMPOSITES	2008	Not Found
TRELLEBORG, AB20095/25/07 to 5/18/09TRIUMPH GROUP OPERATIONS INC D/B/A A BIEDERMAN INC2005Not FoundTRW INC2003Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2005Not FoundTX INSTRUMENTS CORP2000Not Found	TOUCHSTONE RESEARCH LABORATORY, LTD.	2008	Not Found
TRIUMPH GROUP OPERATIONS INC D/B/A A BIEDERMAN INC2005Not FoundTRW INC2003Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2005Not FoundTX INSTRUMENTS CORP2000Not Found	TRELLEBORG ENGINEERED SYSTEMS	2009	5/25/07 to 5/18/09
TRW INC2003Not FoundTURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2005Not FoundTX INSTRUMENTS CORP2000Not Found	TRELLEBORG, AB	2009	5/25/07 to 5/18/09
TURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE2005Not FoundTX INSTRUMENTS CORP2000Not Found	TRIUMPH GROUP OPERATIONS INC D/B/A A BIEDERMAN INC	2005	Not Found
TX INSTRUMENTS CORP 2000 Not Found	TRW INC	2003	Not Found
	TURNER CONSTRUCTION IN RE	2005	Not Found
	TX INSTRUMENTS CORP	2000	Not Found
U.S. IECHNOLOGY CORP. 2005 Not Found	U.S. TECHNOLOGY CORP.	2005	Not Found
UNC LEAR SIEGLER 2004 Not Found	UNC LEAR SIEGLER	2004	Not Found
UNC/LEAR SERVICES INC 2004 Not Found	UNC/LEAR SERVICES INC	2004	Not Found
UNIDYNE CORP 2001 Not Found	UNIDYNE CORP	2001	Not Found
UNISYS INC 2001 Not Found	UNISYS INC	2001	Not Found
UNITED COOLAIR INC. 2006 10/21/05 to 3/10/08	UNITED COOLAIR INC.	2006	10/21/05 to 3/10/08
UNITED DEFENSE 2001 Not Found	UNITED DEFENSE	2001	Not Found
UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORP 2008 Not Found	UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORP	2008	Not Found
URS CORP (EG&G DIV) 2009 Not Found	URS CORP (EG&G DIV)	2009	Not Found
US TECHNOLOGY CORP 2005 Not Found	US TECHNOLOGY CORP	2005	Not Found
UXB INTERNATIONAL INC. 2002 Not Found	UXB INTERNATIONAL INC.	2002	Not Found
VIACOM INC. 2002 Not Found	VIACOM INC.	2002	Not Found
VIKTORIA INTERNATIONAL SPEDITION 2010 Not Found	VIKTORIA INTERNATIONAL SPEDITION	2010	Not Found
W.W. GRAINGER, INC. 2008 Not Found	W.W. GRAINGER, INC.	2008	Not Found
WAGNER MARITIME INC T/A DAVIS BOAT WORKS 2001 Not Found	WAGNER MARITIME INC T/A DAVIS BOAT WORKS	2001	Not Found
WEDJ INC D/B/A WEDJ/THREE C'S INC 2006 10/21/05 to 3/10/08	WEDJ INC D/B/A WEDJ/THREE C'S INC	2006	10/21/05 to 3/10/08
WESTERN SALES & TESTING OF AMARILLO INC ET AL 2005 Not Found	WESTERN SALES & TESTING OF AMARILLO INC ET AL	2005	Not Found
WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORP 2000 Not Found	WESTLAKE CHEMICAL CORP	2000	Not Found
WESTWORLD CONTRACTORS INCNY 2003 1/16/03 to 1/15/06	WESTWORLD CONTRACTORS INCNY	2003	1/16/03 to 1/15/06
WHITMAN, REQUARDT & ASSOCIATES ET AL 2003 Not Found		2003	Not Found
WILLIAMS BUILDING CORP 2001 Not Found	WILLIAMS BUILDING CORP	2001	Not Found

Entity Name Settlement Suspension Date Debarry	Data - n, Proposed ment or rment
2003 Not Found	
ES & TECHS INC DBA INDECO 2002 Not Found	
2009 Not Found	
2002 Not Found	

TABLE 4 - PARENT COMPANY OBLIGATIONS

Note: CR = Criminal List CV = Civil List

Top 100 Defense Contractors - FY2001 to FY2010 - Identified on the Criminal and/or Civil Lists

		YR	Parent Company	TOTAL
1	CV	2000		\$ 238,878,102,142.20
2	CV	2000	Boeing Co.	\$ 185,744,420,294.63
3	CV	2000	Northrop Grumman Corp.	\$ 135,152,159,042.44
4	CV	2000	General Dynamics Corp.	\$ 110,527,878,153.51
5	CV	2002	Raytheon Co.	\$ 102,715,365,972.35
6	CV	2008	United Technologies Corp.	\$ 53,534,160,818.46
7	CV	2003	TRW Inc	\$ 3,931,595,028.00
8	CR	2010	BAE Systems	\$ 55,242,366,990.31
9	CV	2005	SAIC / Science Applications International Corp	\$ 31,486,049,462.18
10	CV	2008	L-3 Communications Holdings	\$ 41,747,991,971.84
11	CV	2008	Computer Sciences Corp.	\$ 21,882,788,533.12
12	CV	2000	General Electric Co.	\$ 24,902,576,981.22
13	CV	2006	Honeywell Inc.	\$ 14,441,660,341.90
14	CR	2009	Public Warehousing Co. KSC	\$ 5,673,947,011.55
15	CV	2010	Hewlett-Packard Co.	\$ 2,698,016,687.20
16	CV	2002	Harris Corp.	\$ 11,317,745,708.71
17	CV	2006	URS Corp.	\$ 12,837,337,780.57
18	CV	2008	Armor Holdings	\$ 999,215,240.00
19	CV	2000	Jacobs Engineering Group Inc.	\$ 6,245,069,743.29
20	CV	2006	Force Protection Inc.	\$ 3,118,629,802.67
21	CV	2003	Johnson Controls Inc	\$ 2,636,856,414.00
22	CV	2001	Unisys Corp	\$ 276,293,629.00
23	CV	2008	Govt of Canada / Canadian Commercial Corp	\$ 7,628,218,506.21
24	CV	2006	Motorola Inc	\$ 1,427,930,809.00
25	CV	2005	Morrison Knudsen Corp	\$ 1,325,154,260.00
26	CV	2001	Hensel Phelps Construction Co.	\$ 4,489,109,783.02
27	CV	2007	Parsons Corp.	\$ 2,428,745,011.00
28	CV	2007	Hawker Beechcraft Corp.	\$ 2,606,625,567.28
29	CV	2006	Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co.	\$ 691,721,760.00
31	CV	2007	IBM Corp.	\$ 3,829,411,067.83
32	CV	2009	ManTech International Corp.	\$ 4,911,372,469.35
33	CV	2001	Fluor Corp.	\$ 4,792,615,239.13
34	CV	2010	Ceradyne Inc.	\$ 1,317,634,343.66
35	CV	2007	Pricewaterhouse Coopers LLP - IBM	\$ 182,693,559.00
36	CV	2006	Tetra Tech Inc.	\$ 2,384,803,974.47
37	CV	2009	Leo Burnett USA Inc	\$ 417,174,465.00
				\$ 1,104,423,438,564.10

Data Source - Annual DD350 and FPDS TOP 100 DOD Contractors Report.

Contracting Fraud Case Action Review

1. Introduction

The Joint Explanatory Statement accompanying the Department of Defense (DoD) Appropriations Act for FY 2010 (Public Law 111-118) required the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the DoD Inspector General (IG), to report to the congressional defense committees on contracting fraud. The language stipulated that the report include an assessment of the total value of DoD contracts entered into with contractors that have been indicted for, settled charges of, been fined by a Federal department or agency for, or been convicted of fraud in connection with any contract or other transaction entered into the Federal Government over the past ten years. The report must also include recommendations for penalties for contractors who are repeatedly involved in contract fraud allegations as well as actions the Department has taken to strengthen Department policies and safeguards against contractor fraud. The report was to be submitted by March 14, 2010.

Due to the breadth and scope of this requirement, the Department met with appropriate congressional staff in an effort to agree upon a more manageable data collection. Based on those discussions, it was determined that the Department's immediate focus will be on fraud issues associated with DoD contracts for the past three years with a threshold for reporting on matters worth \$1,000,000 or greater. An interim report outlining the Department's approach to this congressional reporting requirement was submitted to Congress on April 5, 2010.

To ensure that all organizations were gathering the same information, the definition of fraud as defined in DoD Instruction 5505.2, Criminal Investigations of Fraud Offenses, dated February 6, 2003, was used.

Excerpt from DoD Instruction 5505.2, Enclosure 2 (Definitions), Paragraph E2.1.2

E2.1.2. Fraud. Any intentional deception designed to deprive the United States unlawfully of something of value or to secure from the United States a benefit, privilege, allowance, or consideration to which he or she is not entitled. Such practices include:

- E2.1.2.1. Offering payment or accepting bribes or gratuities.
- E2.1.2.2. Making false statements.
- E2.1.2.3. Submitting false claims
- E2.1.2.4. Using false weights or measures.
- E2.1.2.5. Evading or corrupting inspectors or other officials.
- E2.1.2.6. Deceiving either by suppressing the truth or misrepresenting material fact.
- E2.1.2.7. Adulterating or substituting materials.

E2.1.2.8. Falsifying records and books of accounts.

E2.1.2.9. Arranging for secret profits, kickbacks or commissions.

E2.1.2.10. Conspiring to use any of these devices.

E2.1.2.11. Conflict of interest cases, criminal irregularities, and the unauthorized disclosure of official information relating to procurement and disposal matters.

The Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics collected data from the Air Force Office of Special Investigations (AFOSI), Defense Criminal Investigative Service (DCIS), Naval Criminal Investigative Service (NCIS) and the United States Army Criminal Investigation Command (USACIDC), hereafter referred to as the Defense Criminal Investigative Organizations (DCIOs) pertaining to contractor fraud convictions and the dollar value of the contracts involved.

The DoD Office of Inspector General (OIG), Investigative Policy and Oversight Directorate, reviewed the process and methodologies utilized by DCIOs for recording and reporting of fraud case actions (i.e., actions taken against DoD contractors that have been indicted, settled charges of, or been convicted of fraud in connection with any contract entered into with the DoD) to determine whether the processes and methodologies were reliable.

2. Summary

The DoD OIG reviewed the DCIO methodology and processes used in accounting and reporting fraud case actions associated with major procurement fraud investigations. The DCIOs have reliable processes and methodology to report fraud case actions. This determination was based upon a review of DCIO instructions, training programs and interviews of DCIO program managers. The DCIOs use standard coding terminology with similar definitions and processes for fraud case action reporting and recording the data into their investigative management databases. The recording and reporting of fraud case actions has always been an integral part of the various DCIO case management systems. Each DCIO has established training designed to ensure their personnel understand how to report fraud case actions. Ultimately, the DCIO special agents input the information directly into their respective databases, with supervisory review and verification. Additionally, the DCIOs have processes in place to validate and oversee that fraud case action reporting is accurate. However, there is not a common Department-wide database for recording fraud case actions. In addition, there is no standard on how to report fraud case actions during joint investigations of more than one DCIO or joint investigations between the DCIOs and other federal law enforcement agencies (e.g., Federal Bureau of Investigation) to prevent duplicate reporting of the same fraud case action.

2

3. Review of DCIO Fraud Case Action Reporting Policies

The DoD OIG reviewed DCIOs' policies, instructions, and directives regarding fraud case action reporting, specifically found in AFOSI Manual 71-122, NCIS Manuals for Investigation (NIS-3) and Fraud Investigations (NIS-6), CID Regulation 195-1, and the DCIS Special Agents Manual. DCIO Fraud Program Managers and/or Subject Matter Experts were interviewed regarding their respective processes for recording and reporting fraud case actions. Shown below are the questions and answers from the methodology and process review:

a. **How are fraud case actions defined?** The definitions of fraud case actions used by each agency were generally in agreement, but it was noted that there is no current single source for that definition. The DCIOs define fraud case actions based upon the results of judicial dispositions. They refer to DoDI 7050.5, "Coordination of Remedies for Fraud and Corruption Related to Procurement Activities," and DoDI 7750.6, "Information Requirements for Semi-Annual Report to Congress," for guidance on reporting information related to significant fraud investigations. DCIS and AFOSI further promulgate internal policy documents that define fraud case action (see appendices), while NCIS and USACID incorporate fraud case action definitions into process and database tools, but rely solely on the DOD guidance documents as policy. The DCIOs agreed that a single DOD issuance that defines fraud case actions would be beneficial.

b. Do the DCIOs train their special agents on how to report fraud case actions? Reporting fraud case actions is part of the curriculum in the DCIO basic and advanced investigative training courses. Additional training is provided to agents during DCIO fraud conferences and during on-the-job training. However, according to the DCIO program managers, the most pertinent fraud case action training came during an agent's on-the-job training.

c. What database(s) are used to record fraud case actions? There is no single DCIO Department-wide database for reporting fraud case actions. Each DCIO reports fraud case actions in their electronic investigative information database. AFOSI reports fraud case actions into I2MS (Information and Investigations Management System). NCIS reports fraud case actions into the Consolidated Law Enforcement Operations Center database. USACIDC reports fraud case actions into the Army Criminal Investigation and Criminal Intelligence System and submits status reports through their chain of command on a DA Form 4833. DCIS reports fraud case actions into their Investigative Data System and also submits Significant Incident Reports through their chain of command.

d. What documents are required for verification of fraud case actions? The fraud case action is input into the DCIO's investigative database when the DCIO case agents receive a hard copy of supporting documentation with the results of judicial disposition from the U.S. Attorneys' Office and/or the Staff Judge Advocate's Office. Examples of supporting documentation include criminal judgments, final order of forfeiture, civil settlements, court martial dispositions and payments recouped through contractual channels etc.

e. Who maintains responsibility for inputting fraud case actions into database? Case agents are responsible for entering fraud case action data into their respective investigative databases, recording the fraud case action, and filing paperwork regarding fraud case actions into their case files. Each DCIO has a process for review and oversight of fraud case actions but in general includes the case agent's supervisor, unit leadership, second echelon and/or regional command level supervision, and HQ fraud program management.

f. What is the frequency of input of fraud case actions into database? The DCIO case agent inputs the fraud case action into their investigative database within three to five days of receipt of judicial disposition.

g. What are the levels of validation/verification of fraud case actions? The case agent is responsible for inputting the fraud case action information into the respective DCIOs investigative database. The case agent's immediate supervisor or special-agent-in-charge is then responsible for verification that the data is accurate and properly input. DCIO headquarters performs additional verification of fraud case actions at least every six months.

h. Is the fraud case action data periodically validated? If so, how often? Many similarities were found in how the individual DCIOs track, report and account for fraud case actions. In general, the processes related to fraud case actions are inherently tied into the administrative processes relating to the initiation, reporting and closure of fraud investigations. In all cases, fraud case action reporting is driven by receipt of hard copy documentation validating a fraud case action. That documentation is included in the agencies case file, the retention of which is mandated by rules governing retention of criminal investigations case files. In general, fraud case action reporting must occur within 3 - 5 days of receipt. Each agency maintains its own electronic investigative information database, however; only AFOSI's database includes electronic copies of entire case files. DCIS, NCIS and USACIDC databases contain key data points regarding investigations used for management and reporting purposes, including data regarding fraud case action. All of these databases are used to track, compile and report fraud case actions and statistics, including those provided externally to DoD and Congress.

4

i. What is the process for insuring that fraud case actions is not duplicated or claimed by another DCIO? There is no specific DoD guidance or process to prevent duplicate reporting of fraud case actions from joint investigations. A DOD issuance regarding fraud case action could provide clarity on how to report and de-conflict fraud case actions in joint investigations.

4. Interviews

a.	Agency: Date: Location: Interviewed:	Defense Criminal Investigative Service (DCIS) August 17, 2011 HQ DCIS, Arlington, VA HQ DCIS Fraud Policy Program Manager and Operations Staff
b.	Agency: Date: Location: Interviewed:	Air Force Office of Special Investigations (AFOSI) August 19, 2011 HQ AFOSI, Quantico, VA HQ AFOSI Fraud Program Managers
c.	Agency: Date: Location: Interviewed:	U.S. Army Criminal Investigation Command (USACIDC) August 19, 2011 HQ USACIDC, Quantico, VA HQ USACIDC Operations Director and Fraud Program Manager
d,	Agency: Date: Location: Interviewed:	Naval Criminal Investigation Service (NCIS) August 19, 2011 HQ NCIS, Quantico, VA HQ NCIS Fraud Program Managers

5. Conclusion

All DCIOs maintain training programs and internal oversight for reporting fraud case actions. They also have similar definitions and reporting processes for fraud case actions. However, there is no specific DoD guidance that prevents duplicate reporting of fraud case actions during joint investigations. This matter will be addressed during the Defense Enterprise-wide Working Group and policy guidance will be incorporated in DoDI 5505.02, "Criminal Investigations of Fraud Offenses."

Appendices

- A. Fraud Case Action Definitions Provided By DCIS (See attached)
- B. Fraud Case Action Definitions Provided By AFOSI (See attached)

APPENDIX A

FRAUD CASE ACTION DEFINITIONS -- DCIS

- 1. Recoveries. All recoveries with a monetary value to include:
 - a. <u>Fines, Penalties, Forfeitures and Restitution</u>. This includes all criminal and civil monies as a result of judicial disposition (courts martial, pre-trial diversion, conviction, Article 32, etc) Examples of supporting documentation would be criminal judgments, final order of forfeiture, civil settlements, etc.
 - b. <u>Recovered Government Property</u>. This is defined as government property only physically obtained from investigative efforts. The value is determined at the time the property was seized (not acquisition value).
 - c. <u>Administrative Remedies</u>. These are any recoveries directly resulting from the investigation as an administrative finding (i.e., payments recouped or a reduction of cost through contracting channels, Article 15 UCMJ (Nonjudicial), etc.).
 - <u>Civil Recoveries.</u> These include any recoveries resulting from a civil settlement or judgment.
- 2. Adjudicative Charges. These include Federal, state, local and foreign court criminal indictments, filings of criminal information, civil suits, pretrial diversion agreements and courts martial charges preferred.
- **3.** Adjudicative Convictions. These include the results of criminal trials (civilian or military) ending in judgments or a defendant's signed plea agreement that has been accepted by the court.

APPENDIX B

FRAUD CASE ACTION DEFINITIONS -- AFOSI

- 1. Recoveries. All recoveries with a monetary value to include:
 - a. <u>Fines, Forfeitures and Restitution</u>. This includes all penalties imposed as a result of judicial disposition (courts martial, pre-trial diversion, conviction, Article 15)
 - b. <u>Property Recoveries or Seizures</u>. These include property (including cash and other negotiable instruments) physically obtained from investigative efforts.
 - c. <u>Administrative Remedies</u>. These are any recoveries directly resulting from the investigation (i.e., payments recovered through contracting channels, etc.). This does not include fines, forfeitures, restitution or investigative recoveries described as Property Recoveries or Seizures.
 - <u>Civil Recoveries</u>. These include any recoveries resulting from a civil settlement or judgment.
- 2. Indictments. These include Federal, state, local and foreign court criminal indictments, filings of criminal information, and courts martial charges preferred.
- **3.** Convictions. These include the results of criminal trials (civilian or military) ending in judgments or sentences that the accused is guilty.

Panel on Contracting Integrity Membership

	Position	Organization
\bigcirc	Panel Chairman/ Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition and Technology)	Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology & Logistics) (OUSD(AT&L))
	Executive Director/ Director, Defense Procurement and Acquisition Policy (DPAP)	OUSD(AT&L)
	Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army (Policy & Procurement) (DASA(P&P))	Department of the Army
	Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Navy, (Acquisition & Logistics Management) (DASN(A&LM))	Department of the Navy
Ø	Chief of Staff , DASN(A&LM)	Department of the Navy
0	Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Air Force (Contracting)	Department of the Air Force
٢	Director	Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA)
T	Director, Human Capital Initiatives	OUSD(AT&L)
	Component Acquisition Executive	Defense Logistics Agency (DLA)
\bigcirc	Deputy General Counsel (Acquisition & Logistics)	DoD Office of the General Counsel
\bigcirc	Deputy Director, DPAP/Program Acquisition and Contingency Contracting (PACC)	OUSD(AT&L)
1	Acquisition Executive	US Special Operations Command (USSOCOM)

Figure 2. Panel on Contracting Integrity Membership (page 1 of 2)





	Position	Organization
٢	Deputy General Counsel	Department of the Air Force
	Director of Contracting	Missile Defense Agency (MDA)
	Assistant General Counsel (Acquisition Integrity)	Department of the Navy Office of the General Counsel
	Director	Defense Contract Management Agency (DCMA)
	General Counsel	DCMA
۲	Assistant Inspector General (Acquisition and Contract Management)	DoD Office of the Inspector General Representatives from: Department of the Army IG Department of the Navy IG Department of the Air Force IG
(ARD)	Chief of Staff	Department of Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA)
3	Deputy Director, Acquisitions & Contracts	National Geospatial Intelligence Agency (NGA)
	Chief of Procurement	Department of Defense Education Activity (DoDEA)
	Director, Acquisition	US Transportation Command (USTRANSCOM)
	Deputy Senior Acquisition Executive	National Security Agency (NSA)
	Director for Procurement	Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA)
	Chief, Health Planning Operations	Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs)/ TRICARE Management Agency (TMA)
	Figure 2 Banal on Contracting Integrity Man	

Panel on Contracting Integrity Membership, continued

Figure 2. Panel on Contracting Integrity Membership (page 2 of 2)

